



Capacity for New Woodland Data Table

HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Civic Amenities - Reservoir	A predominantly modern and intrusive type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes. Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A rare type in Oxfordshire which does not generally impact on landscape character. However, the large sites of this type do influence character locally. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	The construction of this type is likely to have removed most existing ecosystems; however, it does encourage water-based and associated biodiversity. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	Sites of this type tend to be of slight aesthetic value, but may contribute to communal or environmental character. Development has the potential to have a slight effect on amenity Score: -1
Civic Amenities - Utilities	A predominantly modern and intrusive type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes. Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	Whilst a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire, the visual dominance of some of these sites does contribute to the character of the county. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	The construction of this type is likely to have removed most existing ecosystems; however, surrounding land may have been left rough and support a range of biodiversity. New woodland would alter this, but not necessarily negatively. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	Most sites of this type will contribute little to amenity, but a few may be of some communal significance and relate to the history of the area. Development has the potential to have a slight effect on amenity Score: -1
Civic Amenities - Sewerage Treatment Works	A modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Small installations may preserve older field boundaries which do encourage legibility. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Undeveloped land surrounding installations may, however, preserve remains. Their proximity to settlement also increases the archaeological remains. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	The construction of this type is likely to have removed most existing ecosystems; however, surrounding land may have been left rough and support a range of biodiversity. New woodland would alter this, but not necessarily negatively. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Civic Amenities - Waste Disposal	Large-scale landfill and recycling sites frequently remove all features of the historic landscape. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value and is unlikely to be affected by pollution. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5



HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Civic Amenities - Reservoir	-7	14	-98	Medium-High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-7	-98	Medium-High
Civic Amenities - Utilities	-7.5	8	-60	Medium-High	Restoration of historic boundaries	-6.5	-52	High
Civic Amenities - Sewerage Treatment Works	-7	9	-63	Medium-High	Preservation of historic boundaries Selection of shallower rooting species	-5	-45	High
Civic Amenities - Waste Disposal	-5	8	-40	High	Restoration of historic boundaries	-4	-32	High



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Civil Provision - Educational Facility	New sites of this type tend to remove earlier features of the landscape; however, older sites may be of historic importance themselves. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	The age of some of these sites may mean that archaeological remains are preserved. More modern sites may have removed remains. Large open spaces may also preserve remains. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Some buildings associated with this type may be historic structures. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	The rarity of this type reduces their impact on landscape character; however, their importance to local communities and, in some cases, their age does increase their impact. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	Some open areas associated with this type may encourage biodiversity, but this is not likely to suffer in the event of development. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of high communal value and may have some aesthetic qualities which would be affected. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5
Civil Provision - Oxford College	Frequently historic parts of the landscape which preserve legibility of the medieval and post-medieval landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes. Score: -4	Buildings are likely to have disturbed some archaeological remains, but deposits are likely to still exist. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains. Score: -3	Many buildings and structures associated with this type will be of historic value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on historic built structures. Score: -4	This type is characteristic of central Oxford and contributes to the city's global image. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character. Score: -4	Grounds and gardens associated with this type may include some historic ecosystems which would be vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type is important for the national community and contributes significantly to the local economy. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Civil Provision - Health Care Facility	New sites of this type tend to remove earlier features of the landscape; however, older sites may be of historic importance themselves. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Some older sites may potentially preserve some remains. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Some historic buildings may exist at these sites which may be vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	The rarity of this type reduces their impact on landscape character; however, their importance to local communities and, in some cases, their age does increase their impact. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is important both in the past and today for local communities. Typically these sites have low aesthetic value. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5
Civil Provision - Religious and Funerary	Frequently an important feature in the historic landscape and affecting the organisation of medieval, post-medieval, and modern settlement patterns. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	High chance of preserved archaeological remains. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on archaeological remains Score: -4	Probable historic structures associated with this type. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on historic built structures Score: -4	Important features within historic settlement cores which influence character across the county. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Likely preservation of historic ecosystems around these sites which encourages a range of biodiversity. Ancient hedges and trees may also be present. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	Significant historic and communal parts of the landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Civil Provision - Educational Facility	-11	18	-196	Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-11	-195.8	Medium
Civil Provision - Oxford College	-18	n/a	n/a	n/a	*unlikely type for new woodland	-18	n/a	n/a
Civil Provision - Health Care Facility	-9	13	-117	Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-9	-117	Medium
Civil Provision - Religious and Funerary	-20	26	-520	Low	*unlikely type for new woodland	-20	-520	Low



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Civil Provision - Gov Office and Civic Centre	Frequently modern buildings which mask the legibility of the historic landscape. Some older buildings which may be of historic importance. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Some older sites may preserve remains. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	A few historic buildings may exist at these sites which may be vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	The rarity of this type reduces their impact on landscape character; however, older sites will increase their impact. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type will likely have some communal significance. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Civil Provision - Immigration Detention Centre	Large modern facilities where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	This is a very rare type in Oxfordshire and does not impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character. Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic value. It will have some value for particular communities, but that may not be very positive. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Civil Provision - Police station	Frequently modern buildings which mask the legibility of the historic landscape. Some older buildings which may be of historic importance. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Some older sites may preserve remains. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	A few historic buildings may exist at these sites which may be vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	The rarity of this type reduces their impact on landscape character; however, older sites will be more significant. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type will likely have some communal significance. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Civil Provision - Prison	Large modern facilities where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	This is a very rare type in Oxfordshire and does not impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character. Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic value but may hold some communal value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Civil Provision - Park and Ride	This type tends to remove earlier landscape features to make way for paving and road surfaces. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic value but may hold some communal value. It is unlikely to be affected by pollution. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Civil Provision - Gov Office and Civic Centre	-8.5	14	-119	Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-8.5	-119	Medium
Civil Provision - Immigration Detention Centre	-5	8	-40	High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-5	-40	High
Civil Provision - Police station	-8.5	10	-85	Medium-High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-8.5	-85	Medium-High
Civil Provision - Prison	-5.5	11	-61	Medium-High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-5.5	-60.5	Medium-High
Civil Provision - Park and Ride	-5.5	9	-50	High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-5.5	-49.5	High



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Commercial - Bank	Often historic buildings in urban cores. Historic legibility is likely to survive. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Some older sites may preserve some remains. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Some historic buildings may exist at these sites which may be vulnerable to development. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	This is a rare type in Oxfordshire, but it characterises the central business districts of urban areas. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character. Score: -3	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	Historic buildings associated with this type may have some aesthetic value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Commercial - Business Park	A modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Commercial - Fish Farm	Whilst a modern type, the scale and nature of this type means that some historic legibility is preserved. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	When created, this type is likely to have had some impact on any archaeological remains, but it is likely that some have survived. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Retention of hedgerows may preserve some historic ecosystems on the edge of these sites and will support modern biodiversity. Development is likely to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Commercial - Office/Commercial	Typically a modern type. Where older buildings have been reused, some historic legibility is likely to remain. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes. Score: -2	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Some historic buildings may exist at sites of this type. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	This is a rare type in Oxfordshire, but it characterises the central business districts of urban areas. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character. Score: -3	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	Historic buildings associated with this type may have some aesthetic value. This type is important for communal value. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5
Commercial - Offices	A modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	Whilst a rare type, sites tend to cluster and, therefore, affect character of an area. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is likely to have some communal value. It is less likely to have aesthetic value and to be vulnerable to pollution. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Commercial - Bank	-10.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	*unlikely type for new woodland	-10.5	n/a	n/a
Commercial - Business Park	-5	8	-40	High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-5	-40	High
Commercial - Fish Farm	-7.5	12	-90	Medium-High	Preservation of historic boundaries	-5.5	-66	Medium-High
Commercial - Office/Commercial	-10	n/a	n/a	n/a	*unlikely type for new woodland	-10	n/a	n/a
Commercial - Offices	-7.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	*unlikely type for new woodland	-7.5	n/a	n/a



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Commercial - Shops	Typically a modern type. Where older buildings have been reused, some historic legibility is likely to remain. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes. Score: -2	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Some historic buildings may exist at sites of this type. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	This is a rare type in Oxfordshire, but it characterises the central business districts of urban areas. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character. Score: -3	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	Historic buildings associated with this type may have some aesthetic value. This type is important for communal value. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5
Commercial - Retail park	A modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic value. It is likely to have some communal value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Commercial - Shopping Centre	Large modern buildings where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic value. It is likely to have some communal value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Commercial- Road Side Service Centre	Large modern complexes of buildings and car parks where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value and is unlikely to be affected by pollution. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Communication - Road	Often post-medieval or even medieval in date, this type preserves an important aspect of historic legibility - the way in which people moved around the landscape in the past. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes. Score: -4	The construction of roads may have removed archaeological remains, but some may still exist. Furthermore, the roads themselves, and their earlier layers, may be of archaeological significance. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	Whilst only covering a small area, these roads have influenced the layout of the landscape and, therefore, impacted upon its character. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	This type is likely to have removed historic ecosystems when constructed; however, historic hedgerows may still survive along the edges of these types. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type may have some aesthetic value and is important for communities. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Commercial - Shops	-10	n/a	n/a	n/a	*unlikely type for new woodland	-10	n/a	n/a
Commercial - Retail park	-5.5	8	-44	High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-5.5	-44	High
Commercial - Shopping Centre	-5.5	9	-50	High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-5.5	-49.5	High
Commercial- Road Side Service Centre	-5	10	-50	High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-5	-50	High
Communication - Road	-14.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	Preservation of historic boundaries Selection of shallower rooting species Bank stabilisation	-11.5	n/a	n/a



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Communication - Main Road	Frequently modern features or with extensive modern alterations which affect legibility of historic landscape. Some roads may follow the course of long-distance historic route ways and may preserve some element of these. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic value. It does have some communal value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Communication - Major Road Junction	Frequently modern features which affect legibility of historic landscape. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic value. It does have some communal value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Communication - Bridge	Frequently post-medieval in date, this type preserves an important aspect of historic legibility. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Construction of this type is likely to have removed archaeological remains. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains. Score: -1	This type can be an important historic structure in itself. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on historic built structures Score: -4	Whilst rare, this type has influenced the layout of the landscape and, therefore, impacted upon its character. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type may have both high aesthetic and communal value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Communication - Motorways	Frequently modern features which affect legibility of historic landscape. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic value. It does have some communal value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Communication - Main Road	-6.5	9	-59	High	Preservation of historic boundaries	-5.5	-49.5	High
Communication - Major Road Junction	-5.5	9	-50	High	Mitigation not required	-5.5	-49.5	High
Communication - Bridge	-15.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	*unlikely type for new woodland	-15.5	n/a	n/a
Communication - Motorways	-5.5	9	-50	High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-5.5	-49.5	High



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Communication - Bike Path/bridleway	A mixture of modern and historic features which often follow the course of old lanes or boundaries. New woodland may mask this historic legibility. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	The creation of this type is unlikely to have removed all archaeological remains. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A rare type recorded in Oxfordshire, but possibly preserving older features which contribute to the character of the area. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	This type is likely to have associated historic hedgerows and trees. Rough ground present will also encourage biodiversity. New woodland may change the supported biodiversity, but not necessarily negatively. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has aesthetic and communal value and may preserve historic rights of way. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Communication - Ridgeway	One of the oldest features in Oxfordshire's landscape, this type preserves historic legibility. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	This type is an important archaeological feature and is likely to have preserved other remains. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on archaeological remains Score: -4	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A rare type in the county, but associated with other features which are characteristic of an area, e.g. Downland. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	This type is likely to have associated historic hedgerows and some rough ground which promotes biodiversity. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components. Score: -2	This type has aesthetic and communal value and may preserve historic rights of way. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Communication - Car Park	This type tends to remove earlier landscape features to make way for paving and road surfaces. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic value. It does have some communal value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Communication - Canals and Locks	Historic parts of Oxfordshire's landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are unlikely to survive at these types. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Many associated infrastructure and buildings are likely to have historic significance. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on historic built structures Score: -4	A significant part of Oxfordshire's history and influential in the development of the landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Rough ground and hedgerows either side of this type may be of some age and, therefore, vulnerable to development. There is also high potential for biodiversity at this type. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on semi-natural components Score: -1.5	This type has aesthetic and communal value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Communication - Rail transport sites	Predominantly historic parts of Oxfordshire's landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Some historic buildings and associated infrastructure may exist at sites of this type. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on historic built structures Score: -3	A significant part of Oxfordshire's history and influential in the development of the landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Rough ground and hedgerows either side of this type may be of some age and, therefore, vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has communal value, but development would have less of an impact aesthetically or environmentally. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1



HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Communication - Bike Path/bridleway	-13	19	-247	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries Selection of shallower rooting species Preservation of rights of way Bank stabilisation	-9	-171	Medium
Communication - Ridgeway	-16	37	-592	Low	Preservation of historic boundaries Selection of shallower rooting species Preservation of rights of way Bank stabilisation	-12	-444	Low-Medium
Communication - Car Park	-5.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	Mitigation not required	-5.5	n/a	n/a
Communication - Canals and Locks	-16.5	26	-429	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries Preservation of historic structures	-14.5	-377	Low-Medium
Communication - Rail transport sites	-14	19	-266	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries Preservation of historic structures	-12	-228	Low-Medium



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Communication - Airfield (Commercial)	Modern features which remove earlier traces of the landscape. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed some archaeological material, but remains may still exist in open areas which may be vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	A few of the airfields and their associated buildings in Oxfordshire are of historic importance. Development may affect the fabric of these, but is unlikely to remove them entirely. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	Whilst rare, this type is particularly large and dominates local areas. The creation of large tracts of woodland at this type may be a reversion to an earlier landscape type. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Historic ecosystems will have been affected by the creation of this type, but some areas may have been preserved on the rough ground between and beside runways and structures. Old hedgerows and trees are likely to have been removed. Development may improve current semi-natural components. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type can have some communal and environmental value; however, pollution, traffic and noise can detract from this. Development may improve amenity value. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -1
Communication - Telecommunications	Late 20th and 21st century features unlikely to preserve earlier elements of the landscape. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A very rare type which contributes little to the character of the county. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value and is unlikely to be affected by pollution. Development may improve amenity. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Open Field System	Where this type survives, historic legibility is well preserved. Any development is likely to reduce this legibility. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	There is high potential for archaeological remains. Site preparation associated with development is particularly likely to disturb such remains which, in many cases, will be near to the surface and non-structural. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the archaeological remains Score: -4	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A previously significant part of the landscape, which rarely survives and which is, therefore, important for the historic character of the county. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Old hedgerows and isolated stands of trees may preserve historically significant ecosystems. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	As a rare enclosure type, this type promotes landscape diversity. This type also has some aesthetic and environmental importance. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Communication - Airfield (Commercial)	-10	12	-120	Medium	Restoration of historic boundaries Planting of large wooded tracts Preservation of historic structures	-7	-84	Medium-High
Communication - Telecommunications	-5	10	-50	High	Mitigation not required	-5	-50	High
Open Field System	-17	37	-629	Low	Preservation of historic boundaries No site levelling Selection of shallower rooting species Bespoke planting plan	-13	-481	Low



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Ancient Enclosure	Historic parts of the county which preserve legibility of the medieval and post-medieval landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are likely to be undisturbed. Typically found close to historic settlements, a range of archaeological deposits may be present. Buried structural remains will be less vulnerable to development. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A previously significant part of the landscape, which rarely survives and which is, therefore, important for the historic character of the county. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Old hedgerows and isolated stands of trees may preserve historically significant ecosystems. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	As a rare enclosure type, this type promotes landscape diversity. This type also has some aesthetic and environmental importance. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Closes	Historic parts of the county which preserve legibility of the medieval and post-medieval landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are likely to be undisturbed. Typically found close to historic settlements, a range of archaeological deposits may be present. Buried structural remains will be less vulnerable to development. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Some historic buildings may be associated with this type. Development may damage these, but is unlikely to completely remove them. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A previously significant part of the landscape, which rarely survives and which is, therefore, important for the historic character of the county. These distinctive enclosures are particularly important for local character. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	This type is associated with a density of hedgerows. These may preserve historically significant ecosystems. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	As a rare enclosure type, this type promotes landscape diversity. This type also has some aesthetic and environmental importance. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Crofts (medieval & Post Medieval)	Historic parts of the county which preserve legibility of the medieval and post-medieval landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are likely to be undisturbed. Typically found close to historic settlements, a range of archaeological deposits may be present. Buried structural remains will be less vulnerable to development. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Some historic buildings may be associated with this type. Development may damage these, but is unlikely to completely remove them. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare survival of an historic landscape and one which influenced patterns of settlement. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Old hedgerows and isolated stands of trees may preserve historically significant ecosystems. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	As a rare enclosure type, this type promotes landscape diversity. This type also has some aesthetic and environmental importance. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Ancient Enclosure	-16	31	-490	Low	Preservation of historic boundaries Maintenance of existing landscape form Selection of shallower rooting species	-13	-397.8	Low-Medium
Closes	-17	31	-527	Low	Preservation of historic boundaries Maintenance of existing landscape form Selection of shallower rooting species Preservation of historic structures	-13	-403	Low-Medium
Crofts (medieval & Post Medieval)	-17	31	-527	Low	Preservation of historic boundaries Maintenance of existing landscape form Selection of shallower rooting species Preservation of historic structures	-13	-403	Low-Medium



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Ladder Field System	Typically post-medieval features in the landscape, this type preserves legibility of the historic landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are likely to be undisturbed. Typically found close to routeways or buildings, a range of archaeological deposits may be present. Buried structural remains will be less vulnerable to development. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A rare survival of an historic landscape around which later landscapes were laid out. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Old hedgerows and isolated stands of trees may preserve historically significant ecosystems. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	As a rare enclosure type, this type promotes landscape diversity. This type also has some aesthetic and environmental importance. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Squatter Enclosure	Often a post-medieval feature created by encroachment on to medieval common land, where this survives it preserves historic settlement and agricultural expansion. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are likely to be undisturbed. Typically found close to historic buildings, a range of archaeological deposits may be present. Buried structural remains will be less vulnerable to development. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A rare but significant part of the landscape for understanding historic character. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character. Score: -4	Old hedgerows and isolated stands of trees may preserve historically significant ecosystems. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	As a rare enclosure type, this type promotes landscape diversity. This type also has some aesthetic and environmental importance. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Assarted Enclosure	Frequently medieval or post-medieval features in the landscape, this type preserves legibility of woodland clearance and the position of former wooded areas. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are unlikely to be disturbed. The earlier presence of woodland is also likely to have preserved remains through discouraging destructive agricultural or settlement practices. Structural remains are less likely to be vulnerable to development. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A rare but very important type for understanding the development of the current character of the landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	This type is often associated with former ancient woodland and surviving trees, both in hedgerows and isolated stands, may be of considerable age. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	Frequency of ancient trees and hedgerows increases the aesthetic and environmental value of this type. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Ladder Field System	-16	30	-480	Low	Preservation of historic boundaries Maintenance of existing landscape form Selection of shallower rooting species	-13	-390	Low-Medium
Squatter Enclosure	-16	30	-480	Low	Preservation of historic boundaries Maintenance of existing landscape form Selection of shallower rooting species	-13	-390	Low-Medium
Assarted Enclosure	-16	32	-512	Low	Preservation of historic boundaries Maintenance of existing landscape form Selection of shallower rooting species Restoration of woodland	-12	-384	Low-Medium



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Piecemeal Enclosure	Frequently historic parts of the landscape which preserve legibility of the post-medieval landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are unlikely to be disturbed. Distance from settlement may preserve older remains. Depth of archaeology may be greater. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A frequent and often historic part of the landscape which contributes to the character of an area by shaping patterns of landscape use. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Old hedgerows and isolated stands of trees may preserve historically significant ecosystems. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	The irregularity of these enclosures encourages landscape diversity. This type also has some aesthetic and environmental importance. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Planned Enclosure	Frequently historic parts of the landscape which preserve legibility of the later post-medieval landscape. However, this type has often removed traces of earlier landscapes. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Some archaeology may survive, particularly at depths. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A frequent and often historic part of the landscape which contributes to the character of an area by shaping patterns of landscape use. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Some 18th and 19th century hedgerows may preserve historic ecosystems. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on semi-natural components Score: -1.5	This type also has some aesthetic and environmental importance. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Prairie / Amalgamated Enclosure	Predominantly modern enclosures which have often removed traces of earlier landscapes. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Archaeological remains may survive in places, but intensive modern agricultural regimes will have reduced this potential. Remaining archaeology is likely to be at some depth. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	Large and dominant types in the landscape found across the county and which influence the modern character of an area. Modern date means this type has had little effect on the evolution of the landscape. Development is likely to break up this landscape. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Predominantly modern landscapes, often created by the removal of old hedgerows and trees. Intensively farmed and with little remaining historic natural environment. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	Some aesthetic value, but commonplace and of reduced environmental importance. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Enclosure - Reclaimed land	Frequently a modern type which replaces earlier landscape types. This type can often override earlier landscapes. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks associated with earlier types are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Some industrial remains or structures may survive at these sites. Development may have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Natural environment likely to be predominantly modern and of limited biodiversity. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	As a rare enclosure type, this type does promote landscape diversity. It will also have some aesthetic and environmental value; however, development is unlikely to reduce this. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Piecemeal Enclosure	-15	30	-447	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries Maintenance of existing landscape form Selection of shallower rooting species	-12	-357.6	Low-Medium
Planned Enclosure	-12.5	28	-348	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries Maintenance of existing landscape form	-10.5	-291.9	Low-Medium
Prairie / Amalgamated Enclosure	-8	13	-106	Medium-High	Maintenance of existing landscape form	-7	-92.4	Medium-High
Enclosure - Reclaimed land	-6	11	-66	Medium-High	Mitigation not required	-6	-66	Medium-High



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Reorganised Enclosures	Predominantly modern enclosures which have often removed traces of earlier landscapes. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Archaeological remains may survive in places, but intensive modern agricultural regimes will have reduced this potential. Remaining archaeology is likely to be at some depth. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	The most common type in the county, but varying significantly in form and contributing little to an area's character. Typically modern. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	Predominantly modern landscapes, often created by the removal of old hedgerows and trees. Intensively farmed and with little remaining historic natural environment. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	Some aesthetic value, but commonplace and of reduced environmental importance. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Enclosure - Paddocks and Stables	Predominantly modern type which has rearranged earlier landscapes and where the legibility of the historic landscape is already reduced. Occasionally older field boundaries survive, with smaller fields created internally. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Archaeological remains are likely to survive and the proximity to settlement will often increase this potential. Development has the potential to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	Whilst a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire, this type contributes to recreational use of the landscape and has some significance for the character of an area. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Natural environment is likely to be predominantly modern, but older hedgerows may exist on the perimeter. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	As a rare enclosure type, this type promotes landscape diversity. This type has some aesthetic, communal and environmental importance. Development is likely to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Industry - Processing industry	A predominantly modern type with some post-medieval exceptions. These older sites will preserve some historic legibility, indicating historic settlement and expansion. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	The construction of sites of this type is likely to have disturbed and archaeological remains. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Some historic buildings may be associated with this type. These may be of some size and be cleared for development. Others may remain in situ. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare type, but occasionally important locally and historically and influential in landscape development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	Historic buildings associated with this type may have some aesthetic value. This type may also have some communal value, but this is unlikely to be reduced by development. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Industry - Manufacturing	A predominantly modern type with some post-medieval exceptions. These older sites will preserve some historic legibility, indicating historic settlement and expansion. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	The construction of sites of this type is likely to have disturbed and archaeological remains. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Some historic buildings may be associated with this type. These may be of some size and be cleared for development. Others may remain in situ. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare type, but occasionally important locally and historically and influential in landscape development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	Historic buildings associated with this type may have some aesthetic value. This type may also have some communal value, but this is unlikely to be reduced by development. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Reorganised Enclosures	-7	15	-106	Medium-High	Mitigation not required	-7	-106.4	Medium-High
Enclosure - Paddocks and Stables	-11	15	-163	Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries Selection of shallower rooting species	-9	-133.2	Medium
Industry - Processing industry	-8	8	-64	Medium-High	Preservation of historic structures	-7	-56	High
Industry - Manufacturing	-8	12	-96	Medium-High	Preservation of historic structures	-7	-84	Medium-High



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Industry -Mill / Mill Complex	Typically modern or post-medieval features which may preserve some legibility of the historic landscape. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Some older sites may preserve remains. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Historic buildings are likely to be associated with this type. These may be of some size and be cleared for development. Others may remain in situ. Development has the potential to have a moderate impact on historic built structures Score: -3	A rare and sometimes modern type, their impact on character is limited. Older sites do reflect historic landscape patterns. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	Associated mill streams and rough ground may preserve elements of historic ecosystems. Modern development may have impacted upon this but there may remain some vulnerability to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	Older sites of this type may have some historic and environmental value. This type has high aesthetic value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Industry -Energy Industry	A modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Some historic buildings associated with earlier use of the site may survive on sites of this type. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	Very rare in the county, but locally its size may influence local character. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value and is unlikely to be affected by pollution. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Industry - Extractive Works	Intrusive type which often removes all traces of earlier landscapes. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	Rare across the county as a whole but significant in localised areas and contributing to the character of the modern landscape. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	Wholesale removal of historic ecosystems during the creation of this type and the disruption of surrounding historic landscapes. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value and is unlikely to be affected by pollution. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Industry -Flooded Extractive pits	Created by the flooding of an intrusive type, which will often have removed all traces of earlier landscape. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	Rare across the county as a whole but significant in localised areas and contributing to the character of the modern landscape. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	Whilst historic ecosystems are likely to have been removed, this type contributes to current biodiversity and this will be altered by development. Development is likely to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type is frequently used for recreation and has some communal value. They can also be aesthetically and environmentally of importance. Development is likely to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Industry -Mill / Mill Complex	-12	27	-324	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic structures	-11	-297	Low-Medium
Industry -Energy Industry	-7	8	-56	High	Mitigation not required	-7	-56	High
Industry - Extractive Works	-6	9	-54	High	Planting of large wooded tracts	-5	-45	High
Industry -Flooded Extractive pits	-7	13	-91	Medium-High	Planting of large wooded tracts	-6	-78	Medium-High



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Industry - Depot	A modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value and is unlikely to be affected by pollution. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Industry - Industrial Estate	A modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value and is unlikely to be affected by pollution. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Industry -Scrap Yard	A modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value and is unlikely to be affected by pollution. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Industry -Timber Yard	A modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value and is unlikely to be affected by pollution. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Military - Castle	Typically medieval structures and amongst the oldest buildings in the county, this type preserves historic legibility. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Important archaeological features in their own right there is also high potential for surviving remains. Any site preparation and planting is likely to be particularly destructive. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on archaeological remains Score: -4	Important historic structures. Any site preparation and planting is likely to be particularly destructive. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on historic built structures Score: -4	A rare but influential type in Oxfordshire's landscape. This type affected historic character and influenced the arrangement of the current landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Some historic trees and boundaries may exist at sites of this type. Furthermore, rough ground associated with these sites may encourage biodiversity. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on semi-natural components Score: -1.5	This type has high aesthetic and communal value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Industry - Depot	-5	8	-40	High	Mitigation not required	-5	-40	High
Industry - Industrial Estate	-5	8	-39	High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-5	-39	High
Industry -Scrap Yard	-5	8	-40	High	Mitigation not required	-5	-40	High
Industry -Timber Yard	-5	8	-40	High	Mitigation not required	-5	-40	High
Military - Castle	-19.5	35	-683	Low	*unlikely type for new woodland	-19.5	-682.5	Low



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Military - Hillfort	Some of the oldest features in the landscape. This type ensures good preservation of historic legibility. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are both anticipated and likely to be relatively well preserved. Any structural remains are less likely to be affected by development. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on archaeological remains Score: -4	It is very unlikely for there to be any upstanding historic structures associated with this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A rare type in the county, but potentially influential in the layout and use of the area. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Rough ground and trees associated with this type may be of some age due to the unattractive nature of the site for agriculture and modern protection. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on semi-natural components Score: -1.5	Natural environments associated with this type will have high aesthetic and environmental value. They may also have some communal value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Military - Defence Site	Typically medieval structures and amongst the oldest buildings in the county, this type preserves historic legibility. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	It is highly likely that there will be important archaeological remains associated with these structures. Any site preparation and planting is likely to be particularly destructive. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on archaeological remains Score: -4	Important historic structures. Any site preparation and planting is likely to be particularly destructive. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on historic built structures Score: -4	A rare but locally important type which has influenced the development of Oxford. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	The construction of this type is likely to have removed historic ecosystems and has little potential for encouraging biodiversity. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type has high aesthetic and communal value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Military base	Modern features which remove earlier traces of the landscape. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed some archaeological material, but some remains may still exist. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Some potentially important historic buildings may be associated with this type. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	Whilst rare, this type can be quite large and influence the character of local areas. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	Historic ecosystems will have been affected by the creation of this type and little biodiversity is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type may have some value to certain communities, but is of little aesthetic or environmental value. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Military - Military Airfield	Modern features which remove earlier traces of the landscape. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed some archaeological material, but remains may still exist in open areas which may be vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Some of the airfields and their associated buildings in Oxfordshire are of historic importance. Development may affect the fabric of these, but is unlikely to remove them entirely. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	Whilst rare, this type is particularly large and dominates local areas. The creation of large tracts of woodland at this type may be a reversion to an earlier landscape type. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Historic ecosystems will have been affected by the creation of this type, but some areas may have been preserved on the rough ground between and beside runways and structures. Old hedgerows and trees are likely to have been removed. Development may improve current semi-natural components. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type can have some communal and environmental value; however, pollution, traffic and noise can detract from this. Development may improve amenity value. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -1



HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Military - Hillfort	-15.5	42	-651	Low	Selection of shallower rooting species	-12.5	-525	Low
					Bank stabilisation			
					Bespoke planting plan			
Military - Defence Site	-18.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	*unlikely type for new woodland	-18.5	n/a	n/a
Military base	-8	16	-128	Medium	Restoration of historic boundaries	-6	-96	Medium-High
					Planting of large wooded tracts			
Military - Military Airfield	-10	12	-118	Medium	Restoration of historic boundaries	-7	-82.6	Medium-High
					Planting of large wooded tracts			
					Preservation of historic structures			



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Military - Barracks	A typically modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks associated with the construction of this type are likely to have removed archaeological remains. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Some potentially important historic buildings may be associated with this type. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type may have some value to certain communities, but is of little aesthetic or environmental value. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Military - Shooting Range	Modern types which can have altered the landscape, but which often utilise the existing surrounds. Some legibility of earlier landscapes may, therefore, be preserved. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	There is some possibility that archaeological remains are undisturbed. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Generally low impact on natural environment, with some areas of rough ground. Older hedgerows and trees may survive on the perimeter. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type is likely to have some environmental value. It is unlikely to have communal or aesthetical value. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Military - Communications	Late 20th and 21st century features unlikely to preserve earlier elements of the landscape. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	There is some possibility that archaeological remains are undisturbed. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Generally low impact on natural environment, with some areas of rough ground. Older hedgerows and trees may survive on the perimeter. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type is likely to have some environmental value. It is unlikely to have communal or aesthetical value. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Orchard and Hort - Allotment	A post-medieval and modern type which can contribute to the history of the landscape. Typically developed within older enclosures and often preserving the earlier perimeter boundaries. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Archaeological remains are likely to survive and the proximity to settlement will often increase this potential. Development has the potential to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A rare and often post-medieval type which holds some local significance for the character of the historic landscape. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Intensively cultivated land which is likely to have removed much of the historic ecosystems formerly present. Hedgerows and trees, however, may have been maintained. Important landscapes for modern biodiversity. Development is likely to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	Significant communal value which may be reduced by development. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Military - Barracks	-6	10	-60	Medium-High	Preservation of historic structures	-5	-50	High
Military - Shooting Range	-7.5	20	-150	Medium	Mitigation not required	-7.5	-150	Medium
Military - Communications	-6.5	8	-52	High	Mitigation not required	-6.5	-52	High
Orchard and Hort - Allotment	-12.5	27	-338	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries Selection of shallower rooting species	-10.5	-283.5	Low-Medium



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Orchard and Hort - Orchard	Typically a post-medieval or modern type which preserves historic orchards or earlier enclosure boundaries. This type often reflects historic local economy. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are likely to survive and the proximity to settlement will often increase this potential. Development has the potential to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A rare and often post-medieval type which holds some local significance for the character of the historic landscape. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Frequently comprising historic trees and hedgerows and supporting a range of biodiversity. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on semi-natural components Score: -1.5	Significant communal value which may be reduced by development. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5
Orchard and Hort - Vineyard	A typically modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	The creation of this type is unlikely to have removed all archaeological remains and any development, therefore, has the potential to disturb remains. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Intensively cultivated land, but retaining some historic hedgerows and trees on the perimeter and encouraging some biodiversity. Development is likely to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has some environmental value, but this is unlikely to be reduced by development. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Orchard and Hort - Nursery/ Garden Centre	A typically modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	The creation of this type is unlikely to have removed all archaeological remains and any development, therefore, has the potential to disturb remains. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Some historic buildings may exist at these sites which may be vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Intensively cultivated and managed land which is likely to have removed much of the historic ecosystems formerly present. Important landscapes for modern biodiversity. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has some environmental value, but this is unlikely to be reduced by development. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Orchard and Hort - Urban Garden	A modern or post-medieval type within the historic core of Oxford and preserving some historic legibility. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Some buildings associated with this type are likely to have removed archaeological remains, but elsewhere there is still some potential to encounter archaeology. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Some historic buildings may exist at these sites which may be vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Intensively cultivated and managed land which is likely to have removed much of the historic ecosystems formerly present. Important landscapes for modern biodiversity. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has some environmental value and has aesthetic and communal qualities. Development is likely to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Orchard and Hort - Orchard	-14	30	-420	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries Selection of shallower rooting species	-12	-360	Low-Medium
Orchard and Hort - Vineyard	-6.5	16	-104	Medium-High	Mitigation not required	-6.5	-104	Medium-High
Orchard and Hort - Nursery/ Garden Centre	-7.5	16	-120	Medium	Preservation of historic structures	-6.5	-104	Medium-High
Orchard and Hort - Urban Garden	-10	n/a	n/a	n/a	Preservation of historic structures	-9	n/a	n/a



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Orn-Parkland / Designed Landscape	Frequently the oldest part of the current landscape, preserving medieval and post-medieval landscapes. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are unlikely to be disturbed and certain features might be anticipated, such as park pales and historic boundaries. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Predominantly a non-built environment but any associated structures are likely to be of historic value. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare but large and important part of the landscape, which has affected the organisation of the land. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Likely preservation of historic ecosystems at these sites along with some ancient trees and hedges. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	This type has significant environmental and aesthetic value. Often open to the public and important communally. Also significant for landscape diversity. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Orn -Deer Park	Frequently the oldest part of the current landscape, preserving medieval and post-medieval landscapes. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are unlikely to be disturbed and certain features might be anticipated, such as park pales and historic boundaries. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Predominantly a non-built environment but any associated structures are likely to be of historic value. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare but large and important part of the landscape, which has affected the organisation of the land. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Likely preservation of historic ecosystems at these sites along with some ancient trees and hedges. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	This type has significant environmental and aesthetic value. Often open to the public and important communally. Also significant for landscape diversity. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Orn -Ornamental water body	Often medieval or post-medieval in date, this type preserves legibility of historic landscapes. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	There is a high possibility that archaeological remains survive at sites of this type. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Predominantly a non-built environment but any associated structures are likely to be of historic value. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	Perhaps less significant than Parkland and Deer Parks, but water management created in association with these types may have an impact on landscape character. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	There is likely to be some preservation of historic ecosystems and good modern biodiversity. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on semi-natural components Score: -1.5	This type has significant environmental and aesthetic value. Often open to the public and important communally. Also significant for landscape diversity. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Orn -Domestic Garden	Post-medieval and modern features sometimes relating to historic houses and preserving some legibility of earlier landscapes. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	The creation of this type is unlikely to have removed all archaeological remains and any development, therefore, has the potential to disturb remains. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Some historic buildings may exist at these sites which may be vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare type historically and in the current landscape, which contributes to the character of the county only through association with important country houses. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	Some of these may preserve historic gardens, but these are likely to be highly managed, with few areas of natural landscape. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has significant environmental and aesthetic value. These gardens are often open to the public at certain times and, therefore, have some communal value. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5



HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Orn-Parkland / Designed Landscape	-17	31	-530	Low	Preservation of historic boundaries Maintenance of existing landscape form Preservation of historic structures Selection of shallower rooting species Bank stabilisation Preservation of rights of way	-11	-343.2	Low-Medium
Orn -Deer Park	-17	32	-544	Low	Preservation of historic boundaries Maintenance of existing landscape form Preservation of historic structures Selection of shallower rooting species Bank stabilisation Preservation of rights of way	-11	-352	Low-Medium
Orn -Ornamental water body	-15.5	31	-481	Low	Selection of shallower rooting species Bank stabilisation Preservation of historic structures	-12.5	-387.5	Low-Medium
Orn -Domestic Garden	-11.5	20	-230	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries Preservation of historic structures Selection of shallower rooting species	-8.5	-170	Medium



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Recreation -Sports Facilities	A mixture of modern and post-medieval features, often within the historic core of settlements and preserving some legibility. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Some disturbance may have occurred during groundworks for associated structures, but archaeological material is likely to remain in open areas. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Some historic buildings, such as pavilions, may be associated with this type. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	Found in most settlements this type does have some impact on the character of a local area, being a focal point for communities. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Largely intensively managed grass or built structures. On the perimeter rough ground and historic hedgerows and trees may survive. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has high communal value and some types may have aesthetic and environmental value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Recreation - Racing Sports Sites	A predominantly modern type which is likely to have removed historic hedgerows and trees. Horse racing sites will have had less of an impact as they often are bounded by ephemeral fences or not at all. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Some disturbance may have occurred during groundworks for associated structures, but archaeological material is likely to remain in open areas. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	Often a modern type, but its size and frequently open nature does affect character locally. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	It is likely that the construction of this type removed aspects of historic ecosystems, particularly hedgerows. Rough ground and open landscapes may survive, however. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has low communal value, but some sites may have some aesthetic and environmental value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Recreation - Other Leisure facilities	Predominantly modern built facilities which are likely to have removed traces of earlier landscapes. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type has high communal value, but development would have less of an impact aesthetically or environmentally. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Recreation -Sports Facilities	-12	13	-156	Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries Preservation of historic structures	-10	-130	Medium
Recreation - Racing Sports Sites	-10	12	-120	Medium	Mitigation not required	-10	-120	Medium
Recreation - Other Leisure facilities	-6	10	-60	Medium-High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-6	-60	Medium-High



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Recreation - Community Centre	Predominantly modern built facilities which are likely to have removed traces of earlier landscapes. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	This type is likely to have removed any historic ecosystems when constructed. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type has high communal value, but development would have less of an impact aesthetically or environmentally. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5
Recreation - Country Park	Frequently a modern type which replaces earlier landscape types. Older hedgerows and trees may have been maintained around the perimeter. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed some archaeological material, but remains may still exist in open areas which may be vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Some historic buildings may exist at this type. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Some landscape reorganisation is likely to have reduced historic ecosystems present, but some trees and hedges may survive. In rural settings these may disrupt historic landscapes. In the modern period they may encourage a range of biodiversity. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has some environmental and aesthetic value which is vulnerable to pollution. Usually open to the public and important communally. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Recreation - Public Park	Frequently a modern type which replaces earlier landscape types. Older hedgerows and trees may have been maintained around the perimeter. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed some archaeological material, but remains may still exist in open areas which may be vulnerable to development. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Some historic buildings may exist at this type. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Some landscape reorganisation is likely to have reduced historic ecosystems present, but some trees and hedges may survive. In rural settings these may disrupt historic landscapes. In the modern period they may encourage a range of biodiversity. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has some environmental and aesthetic value which is vulnerable to pollution. Usually open to the public and important communally. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Recreation - Community Centre	-6	10	-60	Medium-High	*unlikely type for new woodland Preservation of historic boundaries	-6	-60	Medium-High
Recreation - Country Park	-10	22	-220	Low-Medium	Preservation of rights of way Preservation of historic boundaries	-8	-176	Medium
Recreation - Public Park	-10	20	-200	Medium	Preservation of rights of way	-8	-160	Medium



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Recreation - Golf Course	Modern types which often reorganise earlier landscapes. However, some sites may utilise old country estates and respect the perimeter boundaries of these lands. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Archaeological remains are unlikely to be disturbed and certain features might be anticipated if the site reuses an older estate, such as park pales and historic boundaries. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Historic structures are likely to be rare at this type, but may be present if the site utilises a former country estate. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	These very large sites can be particularly dominant in certain areas and influential on the character of the landscape. Development will affect the open and landscaped appearance. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	This type consists of intensively managed landscapes and more natural rough ground. The latter may preserve historic ecosystems and encourage biodiversity. This type is likely to have broken up historic landscapes. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	The managed nature of these sites may limit their environmental value; however their aesthetic importance is likely to be moderate. This type may have some communal value for some people. Development is likely to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Recreation - Hunting Site	A modern type where little historic legibility is likely to remain. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	The built elements of this type are likely to have disturbed archaeological remains whilst open areas may preserve some material. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	A mixed built and open environment which is unlikely to preserve historic ecosystems or high biodiversity. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low aesthetic and communal value and is unlikely to be much affected by pollution. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Recreation - Nature Reserve	A modern form of landscape management, but often preserving earlier landscapes. Some reduction in legibility through removal of trees and hedges. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Some disturbance to archaeological remains may have occurred due to groundworks associated with drainage and water management, but remains are still likely to be present. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A modern type which is likely to preserve types which were more common historically and would have been characteristic of the landscape. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	This type preserves ecosystems which would have been widespread historically. Modern management may have seen the removal of some older trees and hedgerows. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	This type promotes landscape diversity and is of aesthetic and environmental value. Often open to the public, this type also has communal value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Recreation - Golf Course	-12	16	-190	Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries Preservation of rights of way Selection of shallower rooting species Preservation of historic structures	-8	-126.4	Medium
Recreation - Hunting Site	-5	10	-50	High	Mitigation not required	-5	-50	High
Recreation - Nature Reserve	-14	23	-322	Low-Medium	Maintenance of existing landscape form Preservation of historically important ecosystems	-12	-276	Low-Medium



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Managed Archaeological Site	Post-medieval and medieval landscape features are often removed to present and manage prehistoric and Roman landscapes. Some historic legibility is, therefore, likely to have been lost. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Archaeological remains are likely to be undisturbed. This type frequently includes Scheduled Ancient Monuments. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on archaeological remains Score: -4	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type, but there may be some important structures or ruins. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on historic built structures Score: -3	Whilst usually encompassing some of the oldest features in the county, this type is rare and management tends to be modern. It is not generally characteristic of the county but development may negatively impact upon very ancient parts of the landscape. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	Rough ground at these sites likely preserves historic ecosystems. Large monuments were frequently bounded by old hedgerows in the post-medieval period and some of these may survive. Trees may have been left, but, alternatively, management of the monument may have required their removal. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on semi-natural components Score: -1.5	This type has some environmental and aesthetic value which is vulnerable to pollution. Usually open to the public and important communally. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Rural - Village	This type often incorporates medieval, post-medieval, and modern elements. Legibility of earlier landscapes is frequently clear at the core of villages but may have been obscured by modern housing on the outskirts. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Groundworks associated with buildings are likely to have disturbed archaeological remains, but these are likely to survive beneath gardens and in open spaces. This type is often associated with earlier settlement. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	This type is usually associated with buildings of historic value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on historic built structures Score: -4	Scattered villages are characteristic of parts of Oxfordshire. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Old trees and historic property boundaries will preserve historic ecosystems. Some modifications due to buildings will have had an impact, however. Development may reduce biodiversity. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has aesthetic value and adds to landscape diversity. It also has communal importance. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Rural - Hamlet	This type frequently dates to the post-medieval period with some medieval elements. Low levels of modern development mean that historic settlement boundaries and properties have been preserved and historic legibility is maintained to a high degree. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Groundworks associated with buildings are likely to have disturbed archaeological remains, but these are likely to survive beneath gardens and in open spaces. This type is often associated with earlier settlement. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	This type is usually associated with buildings of historic value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on historic built structures Score: -4	Scattered small settlements are characteristic of parts of Oxfordshire. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Old trees and historic property boundaries will preserve historic ecosystems. Some modifications due to buildings will have had an impact, however. Development may reduce biodiversity. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has aesthetic value and adds to landscape diversity. It also has communal importance. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Managed Archaeological Site	-15.5	35	-543	Low	Selection of shallower rooting species Bank stabilisation Bespoke planting plan	-12.5	-437.5	Low-Medium
Rural - Village	-16	26	-413	Low-Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-16	-412.8	Low-Medium
Rural - Hamlet	-17	26	-449	Low-Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-17	-448.8	Low-Medium



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Rural - Dwelling	Predominantly modern, but some post-medieval features. This type often reorganises the landscape to create new residential properties which affects legibility of earlier landscapes. Occasionally hedgerows are maintained. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Groundworks associated with buildings are likely to have disturbed archaeological remains, but these are likely to survive beneath gardens. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	Some buildings may have historic value, particularly when older buildings have been converted for residential use. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	Generally smaller and more modern than Country Houses, this type has less impact on landscape character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Intensively managed gardens are likely to have removed earlier ecosystems. Some older trees and hedges may remain. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is of low communal value, but associated gardens are important for the environment. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Rural - Hotel	A mixture of modern and post-medieval features, often reusing historic buildings which preserve some legibility of earlier landscapes. Grounds associated with these tend to have reorganised the landscape. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Groundworks involved in the creation of this type are likely to have removed most archaeological material, although some may survive within undeveloped grounds. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	This type may be associated with some historic buildings. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare type in Oxfordshire, this has little impact on the character of the county. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Some elements of historic ecosystems may survive, but these are largely built and landscaped environments. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type is likely to have some communal and aesthetic value. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5
Rural - Caravan/Chalet/Camping site	This type is likely to have removed hedgerows and trees, some may remain at the perimeter, but legibility will have been affected. Landscaping may also affect legibility. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Small-scale groundworks associated with toilet blocks etc. may have disturbed archaeological remains, but most should be preserved. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	A landscaped and managed type created in the modern period which is likely to have removed traces of historic ecosystems. Some remnants may remain on the perimeter. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type has some communal and environmental value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Rural - Dwelling	-7.5	20	-150	Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-7.5	-150	Medium
Rural - Hotel	-9	13	-117	Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-9	-117	Medium
Rural - Caravan/Chalet/Camping site	-7.5	15	-113	Medium-High	Mitigation not required	-7.5	-112.5	Medium-High



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Rural - Country House	Typically post-medieval structures which preserve the legibility of the historic landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Some disturbance might be anticipated from the construction of buildings, but archaeological remains are still likely to remain. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	This type is usually associated with buildings of historic value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on historic built structures Score: -4	Found throughout the county and significant in the organisation of the landscape, this type is important for landscape character. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Gardens and grounds associated with this type may preserve post-medieval designed landscapes. More natural landscapes, including woods and rough ground may also be preserved. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	This type encourages landscape diversity and is of aesthetic and environmental importance. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5
Rural - Farmstead	This type often dates to the post-medieval and modern period, although some medieval sites do exist. Older sites preserve the legibility of earlier landscapes and will be vulnerable to development. Modern farms frequently reorganise the landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Groundworks associated with buildings are likely to have disturbed archaeological remains, but these are likely to survive beneath gardens and in open spaces. This type is often associated with earlier settlement. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	This type is usually associated with buildings of historic value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on historic built structures Score: -4	Scattered farmsteads are characteristic of parts of Oxfordshire. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Old trees and historic property boundaries will preserve historic ecosystems. Some modifications due to buildings will have had an impact, however. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	This type has some aesthetic value and adds to landscape diversity. Development is likely to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Unenclosed - Green	An historic type at the core of many settlements and important for the legibility of earlier landscapes. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are likely to be undisturbed. This type will frequently coincide with earlier settlement evidence. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	This type is often associated with stone crosses of historic value. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare but historically more common type which has been important for the character of villages and hamlets. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Often preserving rough ground in the core of settlements along with historic trees and hedges. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	This type has aesthetic and environmental significance and is often important to local communities. This type is particularly vulnerable to changes in rights of access. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Unenclosed - Rough Ground	A historically important type which preserves medieval open landscapes and common ground. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are likely to be undisturbed. This type is often associated with earthworks. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	The large open areas associated with this type are very characteristic of certain parts of the county and influenced the evolution of the landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Rough ground is usually preserved over wide areas. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	This type is very important environmentally. It encourages landscape diversity and is often important to communities. The ecosystems present are likely to be vulnerable to pollution. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2



HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Rural - Country House	-17.5	26	-455	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries	-14.5	-377	Low-Medium
					Preservation of historic structures			
					Selection of shallower rooting species			
Rural -Farmstead	-16	22	-346	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries	-13	-280.8	Low-Medium
					Preservation of historic structures			
					Selection of shallower rooting species			
Unenclosed - Green	-16	30	-480	Low	Maintenance of existing landscape form	-12	-360	Low-Medium
					Selection of shallower rooting species			
					Preservation of historic structures			
					Preservation of rights of way			
Unenclosed - Rough Ground	-16	34	-538	Low	Maintenance of existing landscape form	-12	-403.2	Low-Medium
					Selection of shallower rooting species			
					Preservation of rights of way			
					Bank stabilisation			



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Urban - Historic Urban Core	A historically important type which preserves medieval and post-medieval settlement patterns. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Whilst a built environment there is still a high chance of archaeological preservation beneath historic buildings, particularly as this is the focus of historic activity. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Historic buildings are likely to exist at these sites which may be vulnerable to development. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on historic built structures. Score: -4	This type is significant in establishing local character and influencing surrounding landscape setting. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Little semi-natural environment is likely to survive. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type has high aesthetic and communal value. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Urban - City	This type does not include the historic urban core of settlements and, therefore, tends to be modern in date. Legibility of earlier landscapes has often been obscured by existing urban development. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks are likely to have disturbed archaeological remains, but material is still likely to be preserved in places. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	This type sometimes includes buildings of historic value around which development has occurred. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	Oxford is the only city in the county and the impact of this type on character is, therefore, limited. The size and importance of Oxford, however, does increase this impact. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Little semi-natural environment is likely to survive. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type has communal value and some aesthetic value. It is less important environmentally or historically. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Urban - Town	This type does not include the historic urban core of settlements and, therefore, tends to be modern in date. Legibility of earlier landscapes has often been obscured by existing urban development. Development is unlikely to impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Groundworks are likely to have disturbed archaeological remains, but material is still likely to be preserved in places. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	This type sometimes includes buildings of historic value around which development has occurred. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	The towns of Oxfordshire are characteristic of their local area and important parts of the landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Little semi-natural environment is likely to survive. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type has communal value and some aesthetic value. It is less important environmentally or historically. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Urban - Dwelling	Often post-medieval in date, this type may preserve some legibility of earlier settlement landscapes. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Groundworks are likely to have disturbed archaeological remains, but material is still likely to be preserved in places. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	This type sometimes includes buildings of historic value around which development has occurred. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare type, this does not greatly affect the character of the landscape. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Little semi-natural environment is likely to survive. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type may have some aesthetic value but is of little communal or environmental importance. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Urban - Historic Urban Core	-17.5	33	-578	Low	*unlikely type for new woodland	-17.5	-577.5	Low
Urban - City	-8.5	21	-182	Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-8.5	-181.9	Medium
Urban - Town	-9.5	20	-194	Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-9.5	-193.8	Medium
Urban - Dwelling	-8	22	-176	Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-8	-176	Medium



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Urban - Hotel	Typically modern but with some post-medieval features. There is some potential for this type to preserve historic legibility. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Groundworks are likely to have disturbed archaeological remains, but material is still likely to be preserved in places. Development is unlikely to impact archaeological remains Score: -1	This type sometimes includes buildings of historic value around which development has occurred. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare type, this does not greatly affect the character of the landscape. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Little semi-natural environment is likely to survive. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type may have some aesthetic and communal value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Urban - Public House	Often post-medieval in date, this type may preserve some legibility of earlier settlement landscapes. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Groundworks are likely to have disturbed some remains, but it is unlikely that they will have been entirely removed. Historic sites, in particular, may have good deposits. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	This type sometimes includes historic structures. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare type, this does not greatly affect the character of the landscape. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Little semi-natural environment is likely to survive. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type may have some aesthetic and communal value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Urban - Market	Often post-medieval in date, this type may preserve some legibility of earlier settlement landscapes. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Groundworks are likely to have disturbed some remains, but it is unlikely that they will have been entirely removed. Historic sites, in particular, may have good deposits. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	This type sometimes includes historic structures. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A rare but influential type within settlements, this type may have affected development in its immediate surrounds and thus influenced local character. Development has the potential to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Little semi-natural environment is likely to survive. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type may have relatively high aesthetic and communal value. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1.5
Urban - Caravan and Camp site/ chalet site	This type is likely to have removed hedgerows and trees, some may remain at the perimeter, but legibility will have been affected. Landscaping may also affect legibility. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -2	Small-scale groundworks associated with toilet blocks etc. may have disturbed archaeological remains, but most should be preserved. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	These are a rare and modern type in Oxfordshire and do not generally impact greatly on character. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	A landscaped and managed type created in the modern period which is likely to have removed traces of historic ecosystems. Some remnants may remain on the perimeter. Development is unlikely to impact semi-natural components Score: -0.5	This type has some communal and environmental value. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1

HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Urban - Hotel	-7.5	12	-90	Medium-High	*unlikely type for new woodland	-7.5	-90	Medium-High
Urban - Public House	-9.5	14	-133	Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-9.5	-133	Medium
Urban - Market	-12	15	-180	Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-12	-180	Medium
Urban - Caravan and Camp site/ chalet site	-7.5	11	-83	Medium-High	Mitigation not required	-7.5	-82.5	Medium-High



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Water - River	Whilst rivers have moved they have been and still are central to the organisation of the landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological material deposited within water bodies or eroded from banks does exist but is rare. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	The river systems are characteristic of the county. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Semi-natural environments are likely to be preserved, although they will be under threat from pollution and modern interventions in the catchment areas. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	This type is significant for environmental and aesthetic reasons. It is often used for recreation and is important to communities. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Water - Fresh Water Body	A mixture of naturally occurring and man-made features, some of which are modern. Small and non-intrusive they leave much of the historic landscape undisturbed. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Archaeological material deposited within water bodies or eroded from banks does exist but is rare. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	This type is rare and usually quite small. It is not, therefore characteristic of the county. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Semi-natural environments are likely to be preserved, although they will be under threat from pollution and modern interventions in the catchment areas. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	This type is significant for environmental and aesthetic reasons. It is often used for recreation and is important to communities. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Water - Water Meadow	Typically an early modern type, but often using existing enclosures and maintaining hedgerows and trees. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Archaeological remains are likely to be largely undisturbed. Some impact may have occurred from the digging of the drainage ditches characteristic of this type. These ditches are particularly likely to be vulnerable to development. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A very rare type which contributes little to the character of the county. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Preservation of older trees and hedges increase the survival of historic ecosystems. Intensive cultivation may have altered the natural environment within fields. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	An aesthetically and environmentally valuable type. Development is likely to have a slight impact on amenity Score: -1
Water - Watercress Beds	Frequently dating to the post-medieval period, this type ensures some historic legibility. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Archaeological remains are likely to be largely undisturbed. Some impact may have occurred from the digging of ponds and irrigation channels. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type, but there will be some built structures. Development is likely to have a slight impact on historic built structures Score: -2	A very rare type which was locally significant in the past and whose influence still impacts character on a small-scale. Development has the potential to have a slight impact on landscape character Score: -2	Historic sites of this type will preserve older ecosystems and modern biodiversity will be supported. Intensive cultivation will, however, have had a negative impact. Development is likely to have a slight impact on semi-natural components Score: -1	An aesthetically and environmentally valuable type. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on amenity Score: -1



HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Water - River	-15	34	-510	Low	*unlikely type for new woodland	-15	-510	Low
Water - Fresh Water Body	-11	23	-253	Low-Medium	*unlikely type for new woodland	-11	-253	Low-Medium
Water - Water Meadow	-10	31	-310	Low-Medium	Preservation of historic boundaries No site levelling Selection of shallower rooting species Bank stabilisation	-6	-186	Medium
Water - Watercress Beds	-13	20	-260	Low-Medium	Maintenance of existing landscape form Preservation of historically important ecosystems Preservation of historic structures	-10	-200	Medium



HLC Type	Effect on Legibility	Impact on Archaeological Remains	Impact on Historic Built Structures	Change in Landscape Character	Effect on Semi-Natural Components	Effect on Amenity
Woodland - Ancient Woodland	An historic type which preserves the legibility of earlier landscapes. Areas will have been lost to clearance. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Lack of development is likely to have left archaeological remains undisturbed, however, there is likely to be some root damage. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	There may be a few historic buildings associated with this type, but these are likely to be rare. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	No longer found throughout the county but once characteristic of large swathes of the landscape. Influential in the organisation of the landscape. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Historic ecosystems are preserved at this type. Ancient wood species and wood coverage will survive. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	A high value amenity type with aesthetic and environmental importance. Often with communal significance and traversed by public rights of way. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Woodland - Secondary Woodland	This type can be both post-medieval and modern and may increase historic legibility as fieldscapes revert back to woodland naturally. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -3	Lack of development is likely to have left archaeological remains undisturbed, however, there is likely to be some root damage. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	There may be a few historic buildings associated with this type, but these are likely to be rare. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	An important part of the wooded landscape which is characteristic of swathes of the county. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on landscape character Score: -4	Historic ecosystems may re-establish themselves in these locations. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	A high value amenity type with aesthetic and environmental importance. Often with communal significance and traversed by public rights of way. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2
Woodland - Plantation	A typically modern type which often reorganises earlier landscapes. Development is unlikely to impact the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -1	Some possible disturbance during site preparation, planting, and from roots. But archaeological remains are likely to have been largely unaffected. Development is likely to have a slight impact on archaeological remains Score: -2	Few historic buildings are likely to exist at this type. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	The contribution of this type to the character of the landscape will be maintained. Development is unlikely to impact landscape character Score: -1	Semi-natural components are likely to remain the same. Development is unlikely to impact on semi-natural components. Score: -0.5	Aesthetic and environmental value is unlikely to be affected. Development is unlikely to impact amenity Score: -0.5
Woodland - Woodland Pasture	This type is often associated with older/formerly wooded areas and parkland. It preserves some legibility, therefore, of earlier landscapes. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on the legibility of earlier landscapes Score: -4	Lack of development is likely to have left archaeological remains undisturbed. Some root damage may already exist. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on archaeological remains Score: -3	There may be a few historic buildings associated with this type, but these are likely to be rare. Development is unlikely to impact historic built structures Score: -1	A rare woodland type, the impact it has on character is more limited than others. Its association with Ancient Woodland and Parkland, however, does increase its significance. Development is likely to have a moderate impact on landscape character Score: -3	Older trees and hedgerows are often preserved at this type. Rough ground can also be found here and low levels of cultivation mean that historic ecosystems may exist. Development is highly likely to have a significant impact on semi-natural components Score: -2	A high value amenity type with aesthetic and environmental importance. development is highly likely to have a significant impact on amenity Score: -2



HLC Type	Impact Value	Significance Value	Capacity Value	Capacity Category Rating	Possible Adaptations	Adjusted Impact Value	Adjusted Capacity Value	Adjusted Capacity Category Rating
Woodland - Ancient Woodland	-16	33	-534	Low	Preservation of historically important ecosystems Maintenance of existing landscape form Selection of shallower rooting species Preservation of rights of way	-12	-400.8	Low-Medium
Woodland - Secondary Woodland	-15	23	-348	Low-Medium	Preservation of historically important ecosystems Maintenance of existing landscape form Selection of shallower rooting species Preservation of rights of way	-11	-255.2	Low-Medium
Woodland - Plantation	-6	17	-101	Medium-High	Mitigation not required	-6	-100.8	Medium-High
Woodland - Woodland Pasture	-15	29	-435	Low-Medium	Preservation of historically important ecosystems Maintenance of existing landscape form Selection of shallower rooting species Restoration of woodland	-11	-319	Low-Medium