Part 1 – Respondent Details

1(a) Personal deta	ils								
Title	Mrs & Mr								
First Name	Rosemary & Stephen								
Last Name	Parrinder								
Job Title (where relevant)									
Organisation (where relevant)									
1(b) Agent details Only complete if a	n agent has been appointed								
Title									
First Name									
Last Name									
Job Title (where relevant)									
Organisation (where relevant)									
1(c) Contact addre	ss details en appointed please give thei	r contact details							
Address Line 1		Binato - Fr							
Line 2									
Line 3									
Line 4									
Postcode									
Telephone No.									
Email address)							
Are you writing as	☐ A resident- YES	☐ A parish council							
as	☐ A local business	☐ A district council							
	☐ Minerals industry	☐ A county council							
	☐ Waste industry	Other (please specify)							

OMWLP Core Strategy Proposed Main Modifications February 2017 Representation Form

Please tick to following:	he appropriate boxes if you w	vish to be notified of	f any of the					
Publication o	Publication of the Inspector's report and recommendations yes							
Adoption of t	Adoption of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy yes							
Oxfordshire Mine	Please tick this box if you no longer wish to be notified of any updates regarding the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy:							
Please sign	Please sign and date the form:							
Signature:	RP Parrinder SF Parrinder	Date:	20 March 2017					

·10.77

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) Stat abo	te which Proposed ut	l Mai	n Modi	ficat	ion yo	u ai	re making a r	epresent	ation
Proposed Main Modification No. (and part or policy no. or paragraph if relevant)				M2 – total extraction targets						
2(b	Stra	you consider the C itegy incorporating ropriate)								е
(i)	Legal	ly compliant?		Yes				No		
(ii)	Soun	d?		Yes				No		
	If you have answered No to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).									
2(c	inco	you consider the Corporating the Properties (tick as appropriate	pose							it is
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv)	Positively prepare Justified Effective Consistent with na		ıl policy	,					

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments. The figure of 1.015 MTpa for land-won sharp sand and gravel lacks any proper evidence base. There is evidence that demand for land-won primary sand and gravel is declining as a result of increased recycling, increased marine extraction, changes in construction methods, and the pressure for more brownfield developments where a considerable amount of the aggregate needed is already available on site eg. Didcot Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.						
Either provide evidence of need for the increase, or reduce the figures.						
Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.						

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a)	State which Propose about	d Mair	n Modificat	ion you	u aı	re making a representation	
Proposed Main Modification No. (and part or policy no. or paragraph if relevant)			M3: Standlake to Yarnton as a "Principal Location".				
2(b)	Do you consider the Strategy incorporation appropriate)					Waste Local Plan Core ifications is: (tick as	
(i)	Legally compliant?		Yes			No	
(ii)	Sound?		Yes			No	
If you have answered No to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).							
2(c)	_	oposed				Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is	
	(i) Positively preparent(ii) Justified(iii) Effective(iv) Consistent with respect to the property		l policy				

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Embedded in the plan is an acknowledgement that excessive environmental impact, including local climate change, should be avoided. Arguably, the impact of existing workings in this area, together with workings for which permission has already been granted (e.g. at Gill Mill - 5 million tons) is so great that further extraction permissions in this region would cause irrevocable harm.

New extraction sites in the parts of the Mineral Strategic Resource Area 6 surrounding Eynsham would threaten to overwhelm the local environment completely. In the period up to 2031 Eynsham faces not only this threat but also the construction of a Park and Ride, A40 bus lane, a completely new 2200 home Garden Village to the north, a new Science Park and a 1000 home strategic development to the west. Its landscape setting to the west and north is therefore disappearing making the countryside to the south and east even more important and desperately in need of preservation from gravel extraction for the sake of residents' quality of life, bio-diversity and local changes to the water table/climate change/flood control.

It could be argued that the aggregate needs of these developments should be sourced locally. There is a large and important aggregate recycling facility at New Wintles Farm at Eynsham (David Einig Contracting) which is ready and willing to do so. Gill Mill is also perfectly located for this purpose. No more new gravel extraction sites are necessary around Eynsham.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
Embargo further allocation of sites in West Oxfordshire until a fair balance has been achieved between extraction north and south of the Thames. In particular embargo extraction from land surrounding Eynsham to take the pressure off the local environment.
Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

Please complete Part 2 of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make, and submit all the Parts 2s with one copy of Part 1 and Part 3.

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a)	State which Proposed about	Mai	n Modifica	ition yo	u ar	e making a representati	on
Proposed Main Modification No. (and part or policy no. or paragraph if relevant)			M4: Sites, including Eynsham / Cassington / Yarnton:				
2(b)	Do you consider the C Strategy incorporating appropriate)						
(i)	Legally compliant?		Yes			No	
(ii)	Sound?		Yes			No	
	ou have answered No to der cases, please go to que			, please	con	tinue to question 2(c). In	all
2(c)	_	ose				Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it i	s
	(i) Positively prepare(ii) Justified(iii) Effective(iv) Consistent with na		ıl policy				

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main **Modifications** is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The inclusion of the Eynsham area in the Mineral Strategic Resource Area 6 is in direct conflict with Pol M4 j) iii, v, vi, vii, viii, ix and k).

- j) iii- the local landscape character south and east of Eynsham provides lovely views and walks across the Thames valley towards Wytham Hill and ancient woodlands. Walks here would be ruined.
- j) v- the agricultural land here is surely some of the best and most versatile.
- j) vi- the local transport network is already at breaking point.
- j) vii- The land around Eynsham south and east is very sensitive to nuisanceespecially the primary School and dense areas of housing.
- Also at severe risk of Bird Strike would be the many RAF aircraft (approx. 1 every 30 minutes) that approach Eynsham from the south and turn west directly over the village to head for Brize Norton airfield.
- j) viii- residents' amenity and health will be severely compromised by dust, noise, traffic, loss of areas for walking and recreation if sites around Eynsham are selected.
- j) ix- the character and setting of Eynsham is already under too much threat from the Garden Village, Western development, Science Park, Park and Ride. It should not be desecrated to the south and east as well by gravel extraction.
- k) The proposal conflicts with other County Council and District policies for residential development and high technology industry in the area; excessive traffic on already near-gridlocked roads; noise, dust, disturbance, loss of amenity, danger to the public, etc. In particular:

Traffic on the A40 is already considerably over capacity and will be even more so if current WODC proposals for housing development in the area go through. The A40 should not be used for any additional minerals traffic until this is resolved.

There are no effective policies to mitigate the effects of dust and vibration by providing buffer zones round residential properties, schools and sensitive high technology industrial facilities such as Siemens and Polar factories at Eynsham.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. Remove the areas surrounding Eynsham from the Mineral Strategic Resource Area 6. If this is not agreed-Include realistic buffer zones to prevent nuisance to residential dwellings, schools and dust-sensitive high-technology industrial sites. Defer allocation of any new sites in this area until adequate road or rail transport infrastructure is in place. Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a)	State v	-	ıin	Modificat	ion you	are	making a representation
Proposed Main Modification No. (and part or policy no. or paragraph if relevant)		M10: Res	M10: Restoration and after-use.				
2(b)	Strate	u consider the Oxfo egy incorporating the priate)					aste Local Plan Core ications is: (tick as
(i)	Legally	compliant?		Yes			No
(ii)	Sound'	?		□Yes			No
		answered No to quest s, please go to quest			, please	conti	inue to question 2(c). In all
2(c)	incor	u consider the Oxfo porating the Propos tick as appropriate)					aste Core Strategy is unsound because it is
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv)	Positively prepared Justified Effective Consistent with nat	ior	nal policy			

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications is legally noncompliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main **Modifications** is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The plan pays lip service to restoration, after-care and after-use, and states that planning permission will not be granted unless the proposals include, "where necessary, the means of securing them in the longer term" (main document, p.,71). However, no mechanisms are provided to ensure that promises which are made are actually kept.

Permissions granted in the 1980s apparently included no requirements for restoration and after-use, though that is not the impression which was given during consultations at the time — maps showed facilities such as of sailing, windsurfing, fishing, birdwatching, picnicking, walking and cycling, but it now appears that these were merely "aspirational".

The Cassington and Yarnton workings are a good example of what actually happens in practice - the site is mainly an industrial wasteland, with many unacceptable risks, including unsafe banks and un-reclaimed silt lagoons, the latter fenced off with barbed wire and hazard warning signs. There is no indication that anything will be done about this.

We have no confidence that the Plan as currently formulated will ameliorate this situation or prevent recurrence elsewhere. Communities which have been blighted for years by opencast mining have been badly let down and this must not happen again.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy incorporating the Proposed Main Modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. Mechanisms are required to: Require operators to deposit funds in escrow (before mining starts) for restoration, public access and after-use as provided for in any grant of

planning permission for extraction.

Ensure that restoration is undertaken by independent, properly skilled teams, and not by unskilled, unqualified staff drafted in by the mining companies.

Institute organisational and management structures to ensure the longer-term sustainability and financial viability of after-use activities.

Actively engage local communities in each of the above stages.

Require operators to meet all outstanding after-use commitments before grant of any further permission for extraction.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.