Part 1 - Respondent Details

1(a) Personal detai	ils						
Title	Mr						
First Name	Matthew						
Last Name	Coplestone						
Job Title (where relevant)							
Organisation (where relevant)	Earthline Ltd						
1(b) Agent details Only complete if a	n agent has been appointed						
Title	Mr						
First Name	Stephen						
Last Name	Bowley						
Job Title (where relevant)	Director						
Organisation (where relevant)	Stephen Bowley Planning Consultancy						
` '	c) Contact address details an agent has been appointed please give their contact details						
Address Line 1	Ferndale						
Line 2	Tiddington						
Line 3	Thame						
Line 4	Oxfordshire						
Postcode	OX9 2LQ						
Telephone No.	01844 338636: Mob 07710 03	32722					
Email address	SBowleyPC@aol.com						
Are you writing	☐ A resident	☐ A parish council					
as	■ A local business	☐ A district council					
	Minerals industry	☐ A county council					
	☐ <u>Waste industry</u>	Other (please specify)					

Please tick the appropriate boxes if you wish to be notified of following:	any of the
That the Oxfordshire Minerals & Waste Core Strategy has been submitted for independent examination	Yes
Publication of the Inspector's report and recommendations	Yes
Adoption of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy	Yes

Please sign and date the form:						
Signature: St	tephen Bowley	Date:	17/9/15			

Part 2 - Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a)		e which part of the tegy you are makin					d Waste Local Plan Core t
Par	t or po	olicy no. or paragraph	1	Figure 5			
2(b)		you consider the Ox tegy is: (tick as app			erals a	nd '	Waste Local Plan Core
(i)	Lega	ly compliant?		Yes			<u>No</u>
(ii)	Soun	d?		Yes			<u>No</u>
If you have answered No to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).							
2(c)		you consider the Ox ound because it is					Waste Core Strategy is
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv)	Positively prepared Justified Effective Consistent with nati	ona	ıl policy	□ No □ No □ No)	

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Earthline Ltd currently has the following operations in Oxfordshire:

- 1. Shellingford Quarry: Limestone and sand extraction, inert landfill and recycling
- 2. Hatford Quarry: Limestone and sand extraction
- 3. Shipton on Cherwell: Limestone extraction, inert landfill and recycling

These operate under the following companies respectively: Multi-Agg Ltd, Hatford Quarry Ltd and Shipton Ltd

During the Plan Period the company anticipates the need to extend each of these sites, and possibly develop new sites for minerals extraction and waste management.

Overall, Earthline Ltd supports the Draft Core Strategy, particularly the preference for extensions to existing sand quarrying and limestone operations. The company submitted detailed comments to the previous Consultation Draft in April 2014 and are pleased to note that a number of detailed comments have been taken on board – for example the recognition that limestone meeting the specification for 'Type 1' is produced at Shellingford and Hatford Quarries.

There is, however, one submission that has not been accepted by the County Council relating to the suggested identification of an additional location for working aggregate minerals to the north of Kidlington. This would specifically cater for the future extension of the company's existing limestone quarry at Shipton on Cherwell that has limited remaining permitted reserves. The company's response to this omission is dealt with under Policy M3.

It is acknowledged that the Core Strategy is not site specific and will not allocate sites – these will be a matter for the subsequent Site Allocations Document. The Shipton on Cherwell site has been nominated for inclusion in the Site Allocations Document for limestone extraction and subsequent restoration by inert landfill. The company therefore wishes to see policies in the Core Strategy that:

- (i) Provide a favourable policy framework for the future allocation of extensions to Shipton on Cherwell Quarry
- (ii) Provide a favourable policy framework for any future planning applications for quarry extensions.

Two further responses are carried forward from the Consultation Draft Plan concerning the mineral site location plan and aggregates recycling. Policy M4 is supported.

Representation are submitted to the following Sections of the Draft Plan:

Figure 5 Location of Active Mineral Workings

Policy M1 Recycled and Secondary Aggregate.

Policy M3 Principal Locations for Working Aggregate Minerals

Policy M4 Sites for Working Aggregates Minerals

Individual forms have been completed for each section. The full representation is included in a separate Statement in order that the overall objection and connections between the individual policy objections can be understood.

Figure 5 Location of Active Mineral Workings

Figure 5	(Location	of A	Active	Mineral	Workin	ıg Sit	es)	does	not	show	Sh	ipton	on
Cherwell	Quarry. 7	This i	is disap	pointing	as this	error	was	poin	ted o	out at	the	previo	ous
consultati	on.												

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
Correct Figure 5
Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

Par	t 2 – Repre	sentation							
Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.									
You can find an explanation of guidance on making represent		ed below in the accompany	ring						
•	2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about								
Part or policy no. or paragraph	Policy M	1							
2(b) Do you consider the Ox Strategy is: (tick as app		nerals and Waste Local P	lan Core						
(i) Legally compliant?	□ Yes	□ <u>No</u>							
(ii) Sound?	□ Yes	□ <u>No</u>							
If you have answered No to quall other cases, please go to q		, please continue to question	on 2(c). In						
2(c) Do you consider the Ox unsound because it is			trategy is						
(v) Positively prepared(vi) Justified(vii) Effective(viii) Consistent with nat		□ No □ No □ No □ No							
On the following pages, please Local Plan Core Strategy is le- changes you are suggesting s compliant or sound.	gally non-com	oliant and/or unsound and	any						
Please note your representate information and evidence necessing suggested change, as there we make further representations of After this stage, further submissions on the matters and issuessing the stage.	essary to supp vill not normally based on your ssions will be o	ort/justify the representation to the a subsequent opportule representation at this stage only at the request of the In-	on and the nity to e.						

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Policy M1 Recycled and Secondary Aggregate

We responded to the Consultation Draft Plan as follows:

Reliance on temporary recycling facilities at quarry and landfill sites results in loss of capacity as the host sites are completed. For some locations there will be a good case for retaining recycling facilities after the host quarry or landfill is completed, particularly where the site is remote from housing and has a good access. The retention of such facilities may be preferable to locations in or around the main urban areas which may not be deliverable

Earthline operate significant aggregates recycling facilities within Shellingford and Shipton on Cherwell Quarries. Both of these operate under temporary consents:

- (i) Shellingford until 2019
- (ii) Shipton on Cherwell until 2025

To continue operation both consents will therefore need to be renewed during the Plan Period, either as continuing temporary consents or with permanent permissions.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

compliar 2(c) abov change v nelpful if	nire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally of or sound, having regard to the reason you have identifive where this relates to soundness. You should say why will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It is you are able to put forward your suggested revised wor	ied at this will be
	cy or text. e as precise as possible.	
•	1 should be relaxed to provide for the retention of temporary st basis on their merits.	sites on

	Р	art	2 – Rep	resenta	tio	n
	se complete this part (Pa		of the for	m separa	ately	for each separate
	can find an explanation on a can find an explanation on aking representations.	f the	e terms us	ed below	in t	the accompanying guidanc
2(a)	State which part of the Strategy you are making					nd Waste Local Plan Core it
Part	or policy no. or paragrapl	า	Policy N	/ 13		
2(b)	Do you consider the Ostrategy is: (tick as app			inerals a	nd '	Waste Local Plan Core
(i) I	Legally compliant?		Yes			<u>No</u>
(ii)	Sound?		Yes			<u>No</u>
-	u have answered No to q r cases, please go to que		, , ,), please	cor	ntinue to question 2(c). In a
2(c)	Do you consider the Ounsound because it is					Waste Core Strategy is
	(ix) Positively prepared(x) Justified(xi) Effective(xii) Consistent with nat		al policy	□ No □ No □ No		
On t	ho following pages, place	0.00	st out why	you thin!	c the	n Minorale and Wasto Loca

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Policy M3 Principal Locations for Working Aggregate Minerals

We do not understand why the limestone resources north of Kidlington have not been identified as a strategic resource area under Policy M3 and shown on the Key Diagram. A request to have this area added was made in response to the Consultation Draft Plan in April 2014

.

The purpose of the additional area is to provide strategic policy support for the extension of the existing limestone quarrying operations at Shipton on Cherwell Quarry. The permitted limestone reserves have a life of approximately one year and extensions are required in order to maintain production from this site.

The limestone reserves at Shipton were worked for the production of cement since 1929 when the cement works opened, until 1986 when it closed. Since 1986 the site has passed through several ownerships and is presently owned by Shipton Ltd and operated by Earthline Ltd (as co-owners) Permitted reserves of limestone remained at the site, but the quarry was dormant until re-opened by Earthline Ltd in 2012 to supply limestone aggregates.

The limestone is worked under a comprehensive planning permission for the working and restoration of the site granted in 2009 for the demolition of the cement works, extraction of limestone, importation of inert fill and commercial development including rail sidings, rail aggregate depot, concrete batching plant and use for storage. The remaining limestone reserves within the quarry were located on the northern and eastern boundaries, including beneath the derelict cement works. These have been worked progressively from north to east, with the works being demolished as extraction progresses. The 74m metre high chimney was demolished on 30 August 2015. Less than one year of reserves remain.

Shipton on Cherwell Quarry is well located close to Oxford and to the strategic development corridor along the A34. In a short time the company has established a strong market for the use of the Shipton limestone as a lower specification aggregate at development sites, for example as a construction fill and road base material. There is a strong demand is Central Oxfordshire with major development sites including housing at Bicester and Didcot, commercial redevelopment in central Oxford, and the improvements to the Oxford – Bicester railway line. The company therefore wish to continue to supply such local markets through the extension of the quarry. The development of this new minerals resource area should be supported.

Historically there were permitted reserves to the north west of Bunkers Hill. However the company will be seeking to extend the quarry to the south into two areas identified on the <u>attached Minerals Site Nomination Plan</u>. Area A is a small extension immediately south of the cement works. The major reserves lie in Area B to the south of the quarry. These areas contain an estimated 1.75 million tonnes of limestone (subject to detailed minerals assessment).

The basis for identifying strategic resource area is provided in Para 4.24 that states:

'The strategic resource areas have been broadly drawn to encompass the potentially workable mineral deposits within each area. Natural boundaries such as roads and rivers have been used where possible but elsewhere geological mapping information has been used. AONB, SAC have been excluded but other designations and constraints have not been taken into account at this stage.

Shipton on Cherwell Quarry is located in the Oxford Green Belt, but the Green Belt is not a limitation on identifying strategic minerals resource areas. In any case the Green Belt is not a policy constraint to mineral extraction.

Three principal locations shown for crushed rock aggregates are identified. The areas near Burford, Faringdon and north west of Bicester contain active quarries with potential for extensions. However we can see no reason for not identifying the area north of Kidlington in the vicinity of Shipton on Cherwell Quarry that is also an active crushed rock quarry with potential for extension.

In the preamble to Policy M3 there is no reference to the Shipton on Cherwell quarry workings, and it has been omitted from the map of active mineral workings (Figure 5). It is possible that the significance of Shipton on Cherwell Quarry as an aggregates producing site has not been appreciated.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the

Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. Policy M3: Add a new location for crushed rock as a forth bullet point: • The area north of Kidlington Key Diagram: Add an additional Crushed Rock area. A suggested area has been annotated on the attached Key Diagram: 9. North of Kidlington Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

Part 2 - Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a)	State which part of the Strategy you are makin				Core
Par	t or policy no. or paragraph	Policy M	4		
2(b)	Do you consider the Ox Strategy is: (tick as appr		nerals and	Waste Local Plan Co	re
(i)	Legally compliant?	□ <u>Yes</u>		No	
(ii)	Sound?	□ <u>Yes</u>		No	
•	ou have answered No to quester cases, please go to quest	` , ` , `	, please cor	ntinue to question 2(c)	. In all
2(c)	Do you consider the Ox unsound because it is r			Waste Core Strategy	' is
	(xiii) Positively prepared(xiv) Justified(xv) Effective(xvi) Consistent with nation	onal policy	□ yes □ yes □ yes □ yes		

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.
If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.
Policy M4 Sites for Working Aggregates Minerals
The priority given to the extension of existing quarries (Policy M4(c)) is strongly supported.
Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
.NA

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? (tick box below as appropriate)

No, I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	YES

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.
The representations relate to a key company sites. It is necessary for the representations to be considered at the Hearing in order that proposed changes can be fully explained and discussed with the Inspector, Planning Authority and any other interested parties.
Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary
Continue on a coparate enough of expand the box in hooceany

Please complete Part 2 of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make, and submit all the Parts 2s with one copy of Part 1 and Part 3.