

**From:** Sue Pavlovich  
**Sent:** 26 September 2015 19:32  
**To:** Minerals and Waste Plan Consultation - E&E  
**Subject:** FW: Representation on the OCC draft Minerals & Waste Plan

My name is Sue Pavlovich and my address is

Using the pro forma template to make a representation on OCC's current draft Minerals & Waste plan is too complicated for someone wishing to make general arguments of a legal nature against that plan, so I am writing an email to this effect and providing my name and address in order to make 2 points as my representation, which are not the same as those made by my husband, Henry Pavlovich. I contend that this is perfectly in compliance with my legal rights to make a representation in this way and want you to include this among others for consideration.

1. OCC paid a lot of money to two sets of consultants (Atkins and the latest people, LUC?) but failed to instruct them to keep within government guidelines (NPPF's recommendation to use a 10-year rolling average of sales) when calculating LAA figures for sharp sand and gravel. Therefore, OCC have in effect deliberately wasted local taxpayers' money. This is negligence at the very least and there should be a legal remedy for local taxpayers for this state of affairs.

2. The LAA as currently calculated in the draft plan would make Oxfordshire a net export of SS&G since no previous target has ever been met by actual sales. One is therefore suspicious of OCC's motives in twice trying to prescribe inflated LAA figures. Moreover, one is tempted to ask who benefits from this state of affairs and how. There should be an inquiry into this.

Sue Pavlovich