Part 1 - Respondent Details

1(a) Personal detai	ils	
Title	Mr	
First Name	Norman	
Last Name	Guiver	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
1(b) Agent details Only complete if a	n agent has been appointed	
Title		
First Name		
Last Name		
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
1(c) Contact addre	ss details en appointed please give theil	r contact details
Address Line 1		
Line 2		
Line 3		
Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone No.		
Email address		
Are you writing as	x A resident	☐ A parish council
	■ A local business	☐ A district council
	☐ Minerals industry	☐ A county council
	☐ Waste industry	Other (please specify)

Please tick the appropriate boxes if you wish to be notified of any of the		
following:		
That the Oxfordshire Minerals & Waste Core Strategy has been submitted for independent examination	X	
Publication of the Inspector's report and recommendations	х	
Adoption of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy	x	

Please sign and date the form:			
Signature:	Norman Guiver	Date:	29 September 2015

Part 2 - Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about							
Par	t or po	licy no. or paragraph		The who	e docur	ment	
2(b)		ou consider the Ox tegy is: (tick as appr			erals a	nd V	Vaste Local Plan Core
(i)	Legal	ly compliant?		Yes		×	No
(ii)	(ii) Sound?		Yes		×	No	
-		re answered No to ques es, please go to ques			please	cont	inue to question 2(c). In all
2(c)	-	ou consider the Ox ound because it is r					Vaste Core Strategy is
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv)	Positively prepared Justified Effective Consistent with nati	ona	al policy	□ <u>*</u> □ x □		

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I have seen the Gardner Representation produced by Gardner Planning on behalf of OXAGE and fully support the points made.

I am concerned that OCC did not consult parish councils and the public generally when it increased the LAA (the number of tons of gravel required in the plan) even though it consulted mineral producers towards the end of 2014. The public were deprived of an opportunity to question the methods used in the calculation and the outcome reached by OCC.

OCC's plan is not compliant with government guidelines (the NPPF): OCC suggest that they can leave site allocations until a later stage but at the same time indicate, without any formal supporting evidence, that the preferred area for allocations will be Southern Oxfordshire. They should indicate potential sites and set out formal evidence as to why each site has been chosen and why reasonable alternatives have been rejected.

Government guidelines (the NPPF) advise using a historical 10-year average of minerals sales when calculating the LAA a methodology which most neighbouring counties have used. The original LAA proposed by campaign groups in the Hives report used the 10-year average as its basis and was accepted by OCC in 2014. This would have meant that there would be no need for new gravel extraction sites in the county for many years. It is unclear why OCC have now rejected this approach and are seeking the allocation of new greenfield sites. I strongly oppose the plan submitted.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.		
Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.		

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? (tick box below as appropriate)

No, I wish to communicate through written representations	Х
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.
Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Please complete Part 2 of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make, and submit all the Parts 2s with one copy of Part 1 and Part 3.