

Part 1 – Respondent Details

1(a) Personal details									
Title	MR								
First Name	MARTIN								
Last Name	SMALL								
Job Title (where relevant)	PRINCIPAL ADVISER, HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT PLANNING								
Organisation (where relevant)	HISTORIC ENGLAND								
1(b) Agent details <i>Only complete if an agent has been appointed</i>									
Title									
First Name									
Last Name									
Job Title (where relevant)									
Organisation (where relevant)									
1(c) Contact address details <i>If an agent has been appointed please give their contact details</i>									
Address Line 1	EASTGATE COURT								
Line 2	195-205, HIGH STREET								
Line 3	GUILDFORD								
Line 4	SURREY								
Postcode	GU1 3EH								
Telephone No.	01483 252040								
Email address	martin.small@historicengland.org.uk								
Are you writing as	<table border="0"> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> A resident</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> A parish council</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> A local business</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> A district council</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Minerals industry</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> A county council</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Waste industry</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) STATUTORY CONSULTEE</td> </tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/> A resident	<input type="checkbox"/> A parish council	<input type="checkbox"/> A local business	<input type="checkbox"/> A district council	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals industry	<input type="checkbox"/> A county council	<input type="checkbox"/> Waste industry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) STATUTORY CONSULTEE
<input type="checkbox"/> A resident	<input type="checkbox"/> A parish council								
<input type="checkbox"/> A local business	<input type="checkbox"/> A district council								
<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals industry	<input type="checkbox"/> A county council								
<input type="checkbox"/> Waste industry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) STATUTORY CONSULTEE								

Please tick the appropriate boxes if you wish to be notified of any of the following:	
That the Oxfordshire Minerals & Waste Core Strategy has been submitted for independent examination	✓
Publication of the Inspector’s report and recommendations	✓
Adoption of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy	✓

Please sign and date the form:			
Signature:		Date:	30 th September 2015

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

1.9

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No
- (ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Reference is made in paragraph 1.9 to the evidence base for the plan but no explanation is given as to how the Council has used that evidence base to develop and inform the policies and proposals of the Core Strategy. Paragraph 158 of the NPPF requires each local planning authority to ensure that its Local Plan is based on adequate, up-to-date and relevant evidence about the economic, social and environmental characteristics of their area.

Paragraphs 169 and 170 set out specific requirements for the historic environment evidence base, including the use of the evidence base to predict the likelihood that currently unidentified heritage assets, particularly sites of historic and archaeological interest, will be discovered in the future.

A Historic Landscape Character Assessment for Oxfordshire is currently underway and we would expect this to have informed the choice of Core Strategy's strategic resource areas (and certainly to inform the future selection of sites) in accordance with paragraph 170 of the Framework. We would also expect the Oxfordshire Aggregates Resource Assessment (which English Heritage funded) to also have been used to inform the strategic resource areas (and to inform the future selection of sites).

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

<p>No, I wish to communicate through written representations</p>	
<p>Yes, I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))</p>	

***Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.*

<p>2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.</p>
Empty space for response
<p>Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary</p>

Please complete Part 2 of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make, and submit all the Parts 2s with one copy of Part 1 and Part 3.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

2.1

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes the recognition of the numerous listed buildings and extensive archaeological assets within the County but, whilst not a matter of soundness, reference should ideally also be made to the World Heritage Site of Blenheim Palace and registered historic parks and gardens and conservation areas within the county, which are all important designated heritage assets.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

2.44

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

As stated in our comments on the Consultation Draft of the Plan, Historic England considers that one of the key issues for minerals planning in Oxfordshire is ensuring that minerals development does not have unacceptable adverse impacts on the historic (and natural) environment of the County. Although not, in our view, a matter of soundness, this should ideally be added to the key issues set out in this paragraph.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

2.48

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

As stated in our comments on the Consultation Draft of the Plan, Historic England considers that one of the key issues for waste planning in Oxfordshire is ensuring that waste development does not have unacceptable adverse impacts on the historic (and natural) environment of the County. Although, in our view, not a matter of soundness, this should ideally be added to the key issues set out in this paragraph.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	<input type="checkbox"/>

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

3.3

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports clause b) of the proposed vision for minerals planning in Oxfordshire in 2031 that mineral workings and supply facilities will be located and managed to minimise harmful impacts of mineral extraction, processing and transportation on Oxfordshire’s environment (although it would be helpful to specify “natural and historic environment”).

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------

Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

3.5

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports minerals planning objectives iv and viii to enable a continued local supply of limestone and ironstone for building and walling stone for the maintenance, repair and construction of locally distinctive buildings and structures and to protect Oxfordshire’s historic environment (including archaeological and other heritage assets) from the harmful impacts of mineral development.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------

Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

3.6

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports clause c) of the proposed vision for waste planning in Oxfordshire in 2031 that waste management facilities will be located and managed to minimise harmful impacts of waste management development on Oxfordshire’s environment (although it would be helpful to specify “natural and historic environment”).

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

3.7

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports waste planning objective ix to protect Oxfordshire’s historic environment (including archaeological and other heritage assets) from the harmful effects of waste management development.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

--

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

M1

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No
- (ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports the encouragement given by this policy to the production and supply of recycled and secondary aggregate.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
---	--

Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	
--	--

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

4.24

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Legally compliant? Yes No
- (ii) Sound? Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England notes that Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty and Special Areas of Conservation have been excluded from the identified strategic resource areas, but it is also Government policy that local planning authorities should, as far as practical, provide for the maintenance of landbanks of non-energy minerals from outside World Heritage Sites, Scheduled Monuments and Conservation Areas (paragraph 144 of the National Planning Policy Framework).

We therefore consider that the Blenheim Palace World Heritage Site, Scheduled Monuments and Conservation Areas should also be excluded from the strategic resource areas. We note from paragraph 4.44 of the Plan that working within these areas is not necessary, so there is no need to include them within the strategic resource areas. We would also welcome the exclusion of Registered Historic Parks and Gardens.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

In order to ensure consistency with paragraph 144 of the National Planning Policy Framework, the third sentence of paragraph 4.24 should be amended as follows:

“...and buffer zones adjacent to the latter, Blenheim Palace World Heritage Site, Scheduled Monuments and Conservation Areas have been excluded but other designations...”

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	✓

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.
At this stage, Historic England wishes to reserve its position to request an invitation to participate at the hearing part of the examination in order to explain its position to the Inspector.
Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Please complete Part 2 of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make, and submit all the Parts 2s with one copy of Part 1 and Part 3.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

4.35

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? Yes No

(ii) Sound? Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
(ii) Justified
(iii) Effective
(iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

In identifying search areas for sharp sand and gravel, reference is made in paragraph 4.35 to the fact that potentially important archaeological constraints have been identified in the Lower Windrush Valley, south of Hardwick, and at a number of locations within the Thames and Lower Thames Valleys (Oxford to Cholsey) strategic resource areas, but there is no indication that any more detailed assessment of these archaeological constraints has been undertaken (although we understand that an assessment of potential sites in the Lower Windrush Valley was undertaken some three years ago).

Nor is there is an indication of any consideration having been given to the importance of the archaeology in these search areas in a national and regional context (although not all the archaeological remains in these strategic resource areas are scheduled, they may be demonstrably be of equivalent significance to scheduled monuments and therefore should be considered subject to the policies for designated heritage assets in accordance with paragraph 139 of the National Planning Policy Framework).

Paragraph 126 of the National Planning Policy Framework requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plan a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment and in doing so to recognise that heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource and conserve them in a manner appropriate to their significance. Paragraph 132 requires, when considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight to be given to the asset's conservation. Paragraph 157 requires local plans to identify areas where development would be inappropriate because, for example, of their environmental or historical significance.

One of the purposes of the Local Plan itself must be to ensure that minerals extraction only takes place in sustainable locations, which would be those where there would not be unacceptable adverse impacts on the historic environment, including important archaeological remains. Historic environments and the heritage assets therein should be given appropriate protection through the Local Plan Part 1 by its exclusion of scheduled and non-scheduled archaeologically sensitive areas from strategic resource areas, or at least the recognition that these archaeological remains should be protected and therefore are a constraint in the selection of extraction sites in the Local Plan Part 2.

In the earlier consultation in August 2010 we advised on the inclusion of the Lower Windrush Valley, and noted that this had been described as ‘one of the finest series of successive landscapes in Southern England’. We advised that this area should be protected from further extraction. Subsequently we advised on specific nominated sites within that area, which formed a much smaller proportion of the wider area then identified, and accepted that some extraction on these specific sites might be acceptable. In February 2014, with the reversion of the Plan’s approach to broad areas of search and an absence of identified sites, we again advised that the Lower Windrush Valley should be protected from further extraction.

We note that the current approach is a return to site allocations being identified separately from the Core Strategy in a proposed Local Plan Part 2 Site Allocations document. In accordance with our previous position regarding sites in the Lower Windrush Valley, some sites may be acceptable. We will, of course, have an opportunity to comment on any proposed mineral extraction sites in this strategic resource area (and we would be pleased to advise informally on potential sites) with the Local Plan Part 2, but we consider that the Core Strategy should express greater recognition of the archaeological significance of the Lower Windrush Valley and the constraint on extraction sites that this represents.

The Thames and Lower Thames Valleys (Oxford to Cholsey) strategic resource area is in effect four large separate sub-areas. It is difficult to ascertain what, if any, designated assets these sub-areas contain due to the scale of Figure 9, but the four areas appear to be much the same as the Area of Search in the previous Consultation Draft. In commenting on that document we noted that the Area contained a number of scheduled monuments, which we consider should be excluded from the strategic resource area and clearly identified as such (see our comments on paragraph 4.24 of the Local Plan).

The areas shown on Figure 9 at Drayton St Leonard and north-west of Benson are approximately equivalent to an area which was given within Option 2 of the Revised Mineral Spatial Strategy Options in 2010. We advised then that the area around Drayton St Leonard was worthy of protection within any strategic plan, and that the area contained prehistoric ceremonial complexes which were probably of national importance. They might therefore fall within the meaning of paragraph 139 of the National Planning Policy Framework and should be subject to the same policies as for designated assets.

The area shown on figure 9 and centred on Long Wittenham contains three extensive scheduled areas) and approximately equates to the Culham/Clifton Hampden/Dorchester area of which we said in 2010:

‘The evidential value of this area is high, and the area from Appleford eastwards to Long Wittenham and northwards to the river is an important and intact multi-period archaeological landscape, the integrity of which should not be compromised’.

Again, the area may contain archaeological remains which are potentially of demonstrably equivalent significance to scheduled monuments and may fall within the meaning of paragraph 139 of the NPPF. We consider that the Core Strategy should express greater recognition of the archaeological significance of the Thames and Lower Thames Valleys and the constraint on extraction sites that this represents.

Defining strategic resource areas in the broad way the Core Strategy does, without giving any indication of the presence of serious constraints covering substantial parts of some of them is, we believe, unhelpful and potentially misleading. This failure, in our view, to give adequate protection to the important archaeological resources of the Lower Windrush Valley and Thames and Lower Thames Valleys strategic resource areas, is contrary to paragraphs 126, 132 and potentially 139 and 157 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Historic England therefore considers that paragraph 4.35 should be revised to give greater recognition to, and protection of, the important archaeological resources of the Lower Windrush Valley and Thames and Lower Thames Valleys strategic resource areas, as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account, inter alia, the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Historic England considers that paragraph 4.35 should be reworded as follows:

“The Lower Windrush Valley, south of Hardwick is of particular archaeological significance, having been described as ‘one of the finest series of successive landscapes in Southern England’. The Thames and Lower Thames Valleys (Oxford to Cholsey) are also of particular archaeological significance. Both strategic resource areas quite possibly contain archaeological remains which, whilst not scheduled, are demonstrably of equivalent importance to scheduled monuments and which should therefore be accorded the same protection as these designated heritage assets in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework.

In accordance with the protection afforded by the National Planning Policy Framework to designated heritage assets (and those of demonstrably equivalent significance), and with minerals planning objective viii of the Core Strategy, these important archaeological resources should be conserved and enhanced and is a therefore a significant constraint on extraction in these strategic resource areas.

The Council will undertake further detailed assessment of this archaeological resource, with Historic England, to ensure that this important archaeology is given appropriate protection, in particular when sites for mineral working are allocated in the Site Allocations document.”

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

At this stage, Historic England wishes to reserve its position to request an invitation to participate at the hearing part of the examination in order to explain its position to the Inspector.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Please complete Part 2 of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make, and submit all the Parts 2s with one copy of Part 1 and Part 3.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

4.44

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes the indication in paragraph 4.44 that there are sufficient aggregate resources outside designated areas and sites, including World Heritage Sites, Scheduled Monuments and Conservation Areas, such that working within them is not necessary.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
---	--

Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	
--	--

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

Policy M3

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Policy M3 explains that the principal locations for aggregate minerals extraction will be within the strategic resource areas identified within the policy. The identified areas include the Lower Windrush Valley and the Thames and Lower Thames Valleys (Oxford to Cholsey). We have highlighted the substantial archaeological interest of these areas in our comments on paragraph 4.35 and Policy M4.

Although not a matter of soundness, we consider that it would be helpful if the final sentence of Policy M3 was amended to read "...in the Minerals and Waste Local Plan: Part 2 – Site Allocations Document, in accordance with Policy M4".

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.
--

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Please complete Part 2 of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make, and submit all the Parts 2s with one copy of Part 1 and Part 3.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

Policy M4

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? Yes No

(ii) Sound? Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports criterion j) of Policy M4 in principle, as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account, inter alia, the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans. However, although not a matter of soundness, it should really read “...an adverse effect on the significance of designated heritage assets,.....”.

We also continue to believe, as previously advised, that given minerals planning objective viii. of the Core Strategy is to “Protect Oxfordshire’s..... historic environments (including.....archaeological and other heritage assets)” and the acknowledged importance of the archaeological deposits in the Lower Windrush Valley and the Thames Valley (Oxford to Cholsey) (see our comments on paragraph 4.35), Policy M4 should specifically require that there be no harm to these deposits.

We also consider that criterion k) of Policy M4 should include a reference to locally important heritage assets, in accordance with the protection afforded to non-designated assets by paragraphs 126, 132 and 135 of the National Planning Policy Framework, and as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account, inter alia, the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Historic England considers that clauses j) and k) of Policy M4 should be reworded as follows

“j) avoidance of locations likely to have an adverse effect on designated heritage assets, including World Heritage Sites, Scheduled Monuments and Conservation Areas, or on archaeological assets which are demonstrably of equivalent significance to a Scheduled Monument, or on the substantial archaeological interest of the Lower Windrush Valley or Thames and Lower Thames Valleys.

k) ii) locally designated heritage assets” (and the subsequent clauses renumbered appropriately)

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	✓

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

At this stage, Historic England wishes to reserve its position to request an invitation to participate at the hearing part of the examination in order to explain its position to the Inspector.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

4.52

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports the Council's recognition in paragraph 4.52 of the importance of small scale building, roofing and walling stone extraction in rural areas for the conservation and restoration of historic buildings and to maintain local distinctiveness in new development, as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account, inter alia, the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

4.53

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports the reference in paragraph 4.53 to the salvage and re-use of traditional stone from buildings that cannot be retained, as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account, inter alia, the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
---	--

Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	
--	--

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

Policy M7

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports the principle that permission will be granted for extensions to existing quarries and new quarries for the extraction of building stone where the need for the material has been demonstrated and the proposed quarrying is small-scale in Policy M7. However, as regards clay extraction, see our comments on the Lower Windrush Valley and Thames and Lower Thames Valleys (Oxford to Cholsey) in relation to Policy M3.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	<input type="checkbox"/>

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

4.72

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? Yes No

(ii) Sound? Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Positively prepared

(ii) Justified

(iii) Effective

(iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes the reference to the provision of public access to and information on archaeological discoveries in paragraph 4.72, as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
---	--

Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	
--	--

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

Policy M10

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

- | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|-----|--------------------------|----|
| (i) Legally compliant? | ✓ | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
| (ii) Sound? | ✓ | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| (i) Positively prepared | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (ii) Justified | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (iii) Effective | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (iv) Consistent with national policy | <input type="checkbox"/> |

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports the requirement in Policy M10 for the restoration of mineral workings to take into account the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment, as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
---	--

Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	
--	--

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

Figure 9

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

- | | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| (i) Legally compliant? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| (ii) Sound? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| (i) Positively prepared | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (ii) Justified | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (iii) Effective | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (iv) Consistent with national policy | <input type="checkbox"/> |

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

It is difficult to ascertain what, if any, designated assets the strategic resource areas shown in Figure 9 contain due to its scale, but areas 4 - 8 appear to be largely the same as the six Areas of Search in the previous Consultation Draft.

The Thames Valley – Caversham to Shiplake strategic resource area appears to largely equate to the former North-East of Caversham Area of Search, which included the Sonning Eye Conservation Area and a number of listed buildings.

The Thames and Lower Thames Valley (Oxford to Cholsey) strategic resource area appears to largely equate to the former Thames Valley (Oxford to Goring Gap) Area of Search, which included a number of scheduled monuments. In commenting on the Consultation Draft we noted that the areas at Drayton St Leonard and north-west of Benson are approximately equivalent to an area which was given within Option 2 of the Revised Mineral Spatial Strategy Options in 2010. We advised then that the area around Drayton St Leonard was worthy of protection within any strategic plan, and that the area contained prehistoric ceremonial complexes which were probably of national importance, and which might therefore fall within the meaning of paragraph 139 of the National Planning Policy Framework and should be subject to the same policies as for designated assets.

We also noted that the sub-area centred on Long Wittenham contained three extensive scheduled areas and approximately equates to the Culham/Clifton Hampden/Dorchester area of which we said in 2010:

‘The evidential value of this area is high, and the area from Appleford eastwards to Long Wittenham and northwards to the river is an important and intact multi-period archaeological landscape, the integrity of which should not be compromised’.

We also noted that the Area also contains a number of other designated heritage assets: the Mackney and Long Wittenham Conservation Areas, part of two others and many listed buildings

The Thames, Lower Windrush and Evenlode Valleys – Standlake to Yarnton strategic resource area appears to largely equate to the former Eynsham/Cassington/Yarnton and Lower Windrush Areas of Search. In commenting on the Consultation Draft we noted that the former includes part of the Church Harborough Conservation Area and abuts the Eynsham Conservation Area, and includes a number of listed buildings.

For the Lower Windrush Valley, in the earlier consultation in August 2010 we noted that this had been described as 'one of the finest series of successive landscapes in Southern England'. In commenting on the Consultation Draft we noted that it identified a wider area which includes Hardwick and Northmoor Conservation Areas and part of Ducklington Conservation Area and numerous listed buildings.

The Corallian Ridge strategic resource area appears to largely equate to the former Corallian Ridge Area of Search, which included a large number of designated heritage assets:

- the Scheduled Ancient Monuments of Cherbury Camp, Site north-east of Noah's Ark Inn, Frilford and settlement site north of Cow Lane, Marcham;
- the Registered Historic Parks and Gardens of Pusey House and Hinton Manor;
- the Conservation Areas of Shellingford, Littleworth, Cumnor, Appleton, Fyfield, Netherton, Longworth and Hinton Waldrist; and a great many listed buildings.

In commenting on the Consultation Draft we also noted that The Area of Search also included part of the Faringdon Conservation Area and was adjacent to the Stanford-in-the-Vale and Buckland Conservation Areas and Buckland House Registered Park and Garden (Grade II*).

Mineral extraction may destroy archaeological features and affect hydrology, which may affect the preservation of other archaeological features although outside the area of extraction. Extraction may also have other adverse impacts on the significance of other heritage assets.

Therefore, in determining the sites to be allocated for mineral extraction in the Local Plan Part 2, full and proper consideration should be given to avoiding adverse impacts on these designated heritage assets, including from mineral working within their setting, in accordance with paragraphs 126, 132-134 and 139 of the National Planning Policy Framework. Where adverse impacts are unavoidable, they should be minimised, including through sensitive restoration.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.

<p>2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.</p>
Empty space for response
Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Please complete Part 2 of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make, and submit all the Parts 2s with one copy of Part 1 and Part 3.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

Policy W5

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Whilst, in our opinion, not a matter of soundness (although it would form part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account, inter alia, the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans), Historic England considers that Policy W5 should ideally contain a criterion for the siting of waste management facilities requiring them not to have unacceptable adverse impacts on the historic (and natural) environment.

Such a criterion would be consistent with clause c) of the proposed vision for waste planning in Oxfordshire in 2031 that waste management facilities will be located and managed to minimise harmful impacts of waste management development on Oxfordshire's environment and with the approach taken to the proposed identification of sites for working aggregate minerals in Policy M4 (criterion j).

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.
Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Please complete Part 2 of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make, and submit all the Parts 2s with one copy of Part 1 and Part 3.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

Policy C4

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The preservation of some archaeological remains depends on their remaining waterlogged.

Whilst, in our opinion, not a matter of soundness (although it would form part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account, inter alia, the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans), Historic England considers that there should therefore be a fourth bullet point in Policy C4: “Waterlogged archaeological remains”, In addition, the final sentence of the policy should ideally say “landscape, nature conservation, historic or amenity value...”.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.
--

--

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Please complete Part 2 of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make, and submit all the Parts 2s with one copy of Part 1 and Part 3.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

Policy C8

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports the protection afforded to the Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty in the County, particularly the high priority that will be given to the conservation and enhancement of the natural beauty of the landscape in AONBs and the reference to the AONB Management Plans.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

6.47

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes the recognition of the County’s rich historic heritage in paragraph 6.47 and the references to national policy, although the Council should be aware that designated assets are not comprehensive: historic parks and gardens are particularly under-represented. In addition, paragraph 139 of the NPPF recognises that non-designated heritage assets of archaeological interest may be demonstrably of equivalent significance to scheduled monuments, and should be considered subject to the policies for designated heritage assets.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

6.48

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports paragraph 6.48 for the protection it affords to non-designated heritage assets, as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account, inter alia, the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

6.49.

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

- | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|-----|--------------------------|----|
| (i) Legally compliant? | ✓ | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |
| (ii) Sound? | ✓ | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No |

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| (i) Positively prepared | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (ii) Justified | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (iii) Effective | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (iv) Consistent with national policy | <input type="checkbox"/> |

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports paragraph 6.49, as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account, inter alia, the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
---	--

Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	
--	--

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

6.50

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports paragraph 6.50, as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account, inter alia, the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
---	--

Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	
--	--

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Part 2 – Representation

Please complete this part (Part 2) of the form separately for each separate representation you wish to make.

You can find an explanation of the terms used below in the accompanying guidance on making representations.

2(a) State which part of the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy you are making a representation about

Part or policy no. or paragraph

Policy C9

2(b) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is: (tick as appropriate)

(i) Legally compliant? ✓ Yes No

(ii) Sound? ✓ Yes No

If you have answered **No** to question 2(b)(ii), please continue to question 2(c). In all other cases, please go to question 2(d).

2(c) Do you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- (i) Positively prepared
- (ii) Justified
- (iii) Effective
- (iv) Consistent with national policy

On the following pages, please set out why you think the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally non-compliant and/or unsound and any changes you are suggesting should be made to it that would make it legally compliant or sound.

Please note your representation should include as succinctly as possible all the information and evidence necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on your representation at this stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

2(d) Please give details of why you consider the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you agree that the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy is legally compliant and/or sound and wish to support this, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Historic England welcomes and supports Policy C9 for its comprehensive consideration of the historic environment and the protection it affords to both designated and non-designated assets, as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account, inter alia, the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, which paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out in their Local Plans..

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary

2(e) Please set out the changes(s) you consider necessary to make the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at 2(c) above where this relates to soundness. You should say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet or expand the box if necessary.

2(f) Written representations or oral hearing

If your representation is seeking a change to the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Core Strategy, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination? *(tick box below as appropriate)*

No , I wish to communicate through written representations	
Yes , I wish to participate at the oral hearing part of the examination (go to 2(g))	

2(g) If you wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

--